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## Executive Summary

This report compares the cost and environmental impact of current subsidized air service provided to rural communities under the Essential Air Service (EAS) program, to an alternative method of connecting these rural communities to the nationwide air transport system. The alternative transport mode which is analyzed here is the use of scheduled inter-city coach bus service between these rural communities and nearby regional hub airports.

The EAS program provides subsidized air links to 153 rural communities in 35 states and Puerto Rico. For this analysis we only evaluated alternative coach bus service for EAS communities in the lower 48 states which are within 150 air miles of a medium or large hub airport - a total of 38 communities. These communities are shown in Figure 1, along with their air links currently subsidized under EAS. As shown, most of the communities analyzed have subsidized air service to only one regional hub airport, while five communities have subsidized service to two different hubs. Virtually all of the subsidized flights connect the EAS community to a large regional hub airport, and this hub is not always the large hub closest to the community.


Figure 1 EAS Communities within 150 Air Miles of a Large or Medium Hub Airport

For each of these 38 EAS communities, this analysis compares the current total cost of EAS flights - both government subsidies and passenger fares - to the cost of providing "equivalent" bus service to make the same or similar links. For 32 communities the bus service analyzed goes to the same airport as the current EAS flights - this was done specifically to provide the best direct comparison between modes. For some of these communities there is a closer hub airport which could be reached with a shorter bus trip than the one analyzed, but these alternative bus trips were not included in the analysis.

For the other six communities, bus trips to the current EAS hub airports were judged to be too long - more than 200 miles or more than three hours drive time. For these six communities the alternative bus service analyzed goes from the EAS community to the closest regional hub airport that is within 200 driving miles; for two communities this is a large hub airport and for four it is a medium hub airport. This analysis did not attempt to compare the relative availability of follow-on flights at the alternative airport destinations compared to the current EAS-subsidized flight destinations.

This analysis assumes the same number of scheduled weekly bus trips as current scheduled EAS flights for each community - for most communities this is two or three round trips per day, for a total of between 28 and 72 one-way trips per week to/from the EAS community and a regional hub airport. For the alternative coach bus service operating costs included in the analysis are the annualized cost of bus purchase, annual bus maintenance, annual fuel costs, annual driver labor costs, and annual overhead and profit.

Total trip time for most of the alterative bus trips is longer than the total trip time for current EAS air flights. This analysis assesses the "value" of this incremental trip time to passengers, using a standard Department of Transportation methodology, and adds it to bus operating costs to determine the total cost of the bus alternative.

The analysis also evaluates the environmental impact of coach bus service compared to current EAS flights, by determining for each mode and each route annual fuel use (gallons) and annual exhaust emissions (tons) of carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$, nitrogen oxides $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{x}\right)$, volatile hydrocarbons (HC), carbon monoxide $(\mathrm{CO})$ and sulfur dioxide $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)^{1}$.

See Table 1 for a summary of the analysis. As shown, the EAS program currently subsidizes 79,040 annual one-way flights to/from the 38 EAS communities included in this analysis, which serve 615,528 annual one-way passengers. The total annual cost of these flights is $\$ 131.5$ million - an average cost of $\$ 214$ per one-way passenger trip. Government EAS subsidies currently cover $46 \%$ of this cost and passengers cover the rest via fares.

[^0]Table 1 Costs and Environmental Effects of EAS Program Compared to Coach Bus Service

| unit |  |  |  | EAS-Subsidized Flights | Alternative <br> Coach Bus <br> Service | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | Annual Trips |  | \# | 79,040 | 79,040 | 0 |
| R |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V | Annual Seats |  | \# | 1,539,720 | 4,347,200 | 2,807,480 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | Annual Passengers |  | \# | 615,528 | 615,528 | 0 |
|  | Current Annual E | ubsidy | \$ | \$60,838,832 |  |  |
| c | Current Annual Pas | er Fares | \$ | \$70,652,143 |  |  |
| S | Annual Bus Oper | Cost | \$ |  | \$33,860,696 |  |
| S | Annual Incrementa | vel Time | \$ |  | \$8,098,098 |  |
|  |  |  |  | \$131,490,975 | \$41,958,794 | (\$89,532,180) |
| E | Annual Miles |  | mi | 12,310,688 | 11,953,411 | $(357,277)$ |
| N | Annual Fuel Use |  | gal | 7,930,259 | 2,213,595 | $(5,716,665)$ |
| I |  | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | ton | 88,149 | 24,605 | $(63,544)$ |
| 0 |  | NOx | ton | 28.1 | 14.9 | (13.2) |
| N M | Annual Emissions | HC | ton | 1,188.2 | 2.0 | $(1,186.3)$ |
| E |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N |  | CO | ton | 2,067.7 | 1.2 | $(2,066.6)$ |
| T |  | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | ton | 28.1 | 0.2 | (27.8) |

Totals for 38 EAS communities that are within 150 miles of a medium or large air hub. For 32 communities alternative bus service is to the the same destination as current EAS flights (large air hub); for two communities bus service is to the closest large air hub, and for 4 communities bus service is to the closest medium air hub.

This analysis indicates that the cost of providing equivalent hub airport links to these communities using scheduled coach bus service would be $\$ 41.9$ million - an average cost of $\$ 68$ per one-way passenger trip. Total costs for providing hub airport links to
these communities using scheduled coach bus service are $68 \%$ lower than current costs for EAS-subsidized air links.

Eighty-one percent of the total cost for bus service is the operating cost of the buses required, and $19 \%$ is the "cost" to passengers of longer trip times using the bus. Incremental average trip times for the coach bus service compared to current air flights range from two minutes shorter to almost two hours longer. More than $67 \%$ of the bus trips are shorter, or are less than one hour longer, than current flights; the weighted average incremental trip time for all bus trips analyzed is 43 minutes ${ }^{2}$.

For this analysis trip times on each route include check-in, drive time, congestion delays, and disembarking for buses, and include check-in/security clearance, flight time, flight delays, and deplaning for flights.

The use of scheduled coach bus service instead of air service would also reduce annual fuel use by 5.7 million gallons, would reduce annual $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions by over 63,000 tons, and would reduce annual emissions of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}, \mathrm{HC}, \mathrm{CO}$, and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ by 13.2 tons, 1,186 tons, 2,066 tons, and 27.8 tons respectively.

See Figure 2 for a comparison of average total EAS one-way flight costs to average total one-way bus trip costs (\$/passenger) for each of the EAS communities analyzed. As shown, for every community analyzed the total cost of bus service, including bus operating costs and the "cost" to passengers of additional trip time, is significantly less than the cost of current EAS air service ${ }^{3}$.

See Figure 3 for a comparison of projected one-way bus operating costs to the one-way fares currently charged on EAS-subsidized routes. As shown, for more than half of the routes the actual cost of operating coach buses not including travel time (\$/passenger, in red) is less than the fare currently charged for air flights not including the subsidy (in blue). This indicates that these routes might be able to operate profitably using buses, without the need for any government subsidy in the long term. If only the bus routes for which per-passenger operating costs are higher than current air fares were subsidized, and if the subsidy was set equal to the difference between current fares and bus operating costs, then the total required annual subsidy for coach bus service to these 38 communities would be $\$ 8.6$ million. Compared to current annual EAS

[^1]subsidies for these 38 communities, annual savings to taxpayers could be $\$ 50$ million per year or more.


Figure 2 Total EAS Costs Compared to Total Costs for Alternative Bus Service (\$/passenger)


Figure 3 Coach Bus Operating Costs Compared to Current Fares on EAS Flights (\$/passenger)

## 1 Background - Essential Air Service Program

The following text is from "WHAT IS ESSENTIAL AIR SERVICE (EAS)?, Prepared by the
Office of Aviation Analysis, U. S. DOT, Revised April 1, 2009
(http://ostpxweb.dot.gov/aviation/rural/easwhat.pdf).

In 1978, when the Airline Deregulation Act (ADA) was enacted, 746 communities in the United States and its territories were listed on air carrier certificates issued under section 401 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958. Before deregulation, air carriers' operating certificates for most of these communities required carriers to schedule and provide two daily round trips at each point on their certificates. During the pre-ADA debates, the prospect of allowing carriers to terminate scheduled service without prior Government approval raised concern that communities with relatively lower traffic levels would lose service entirely as carriers shifted their operations to larger, potentially more lucrative markets. To address this concern, Congress added section 419 to the Federal Aviation Act, which established the EAS program, which today is administered by the Department of Transportation, to ensure that smaller communities would retain a link to the national air transportation system, with Federal subsidy where necessary.

Under this program, the Department determines the minimum level of service required at each eligible community by specifying a hub through which the community is linked to the national network, a minimum number of round trips and available seats that must be provided to that hub, certain characteristics of the aircraft to be used, and the maximum permissible number of intermediate stops to the hub. The program's guidelines were codified by rulemaking as a Policy Statement of the Department in Volume 14, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 398. Where necessary, the Department pays subsidy to a carrier to ensure that the specified level of service is provided. Most eligible points, of course, do not require subsidized service; as of April 1, 2009, the Department was subsidizing service at 108 communities in the contiguous 48 states, Hawaii, and Puerto Rico, and 45 in Alaska.

Congress initially authorized the program for a ten-year period, through October 23, 1988. Its interest in ensuring service at small communities remained strong, and before the program's expiration, Congress enacted the Airport and Airway Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1987, Public Law 100-223, which expanded the program and extended it for ten more years, through fiscal year 1998. In so far as service guarantees were concerned, Public Law 100-223 amended section 419 of the Federal Aviation Act by codifying many of the Department's guidelines in 14 CFR 398 as well as specifying an increased minimum level of service - termed "basic" EAS--for any community that
was eligible for service under the earlier program and actually receiving service during any part of fiscal year 1988. In addition, Public Law 100-223 provided for a higher level of service--termed "enhanced" EAS--which communities could obtain either by agreeing to a subsidy-sharing commitment or by agreeing to risk the loss of basic service if the Department-funded enhanced service failed to meet agreed levels of passenger use.

Effective June 1994, the Federal Aviation Act was recodified as subtitles II, III, and V-X of title 49, United States Code, "Transportation." The former section 419 of the Federal Aviation Act is now 49 U.S.C. 41731--41742.

Finally, the new law contained provisions by which new communities could participate in the program if they were willing to pay part of the total subsidy. The various statutorily-mandated elements comprising basic EAS exceeded the minimums that had prevailed under the Department's discretionary regulatory guidelines since 1978, but, at the time that Public Law 100-223 was enacted, program funding was insufficient for the Department to implement the service upgrades to meet the new standards, much less for what would be necessary to support enhanced service or service at new points. In fact, during fiscal year 1990, twenty-six communities were made ineligible as a result of reduced funding.

## 2 Study Methodology

This section briefly discusses the methodology, data sources, and assumptions used in this study. Additional details are included in Appendix A.

### 2.1 Current EAS Flights

For each of the EAS communities analyzed in this report, basic information about the location of the community, the hub to which EAS subsidized service flies, the EASsubsidized carrier, the aircraft flown on EAS-subsidized routes, the number of seats on the aircraft, and current annual EAS subsidies, was taken from the U.S. Department of Transportation EAS Subsidy Report, May 2010; the data in this report was updated based on review of DOT dockets. ${ }^{4}$

The number of passengers carried annually on each EAS subsidized route was determined from annual enplanement data for each rural EAS airport in 2010, as published by the Federal Aviation Administration. ${ }^{5}$ These data cover only passengers who leave from the airport - to calculate total annual passengers on each route enplanement numbers were doubled, on the assumption that virtually all passengers make a round trip.

For each route the number of daily/weekly scheduled flights, the scheduled flight time, and typical one-way passenger fare were determined by reviewing the website of the carrier serving the route. These websites were accessed on 8/18/2011 and 8/19/2011. Listed fares and flight times represent the lowest price and shortest duration for all outbound flights from the rural EAS airport on 9/19/2011; listed fares for flights on other days in the same week on six of the routes indicates that there is generally minimal variation in fares for flights on different days of the week.

Prices and durations are for direct flights only unless no direct flights exist. The listed fare on each route represents the price for a 30-day advance ticket; a review of fares listed on $9 / 7 / 2011$, for travel on $9 / 19 / 2011$, on a random sample of six routes, indicates that fares can increase by as much as $97 \%$ for a two-week advance booking compared to a 30-day advance booking. The fares used for this analysis represent a conservative (low) estimate of passenger costs; actual fares may be higher depending on how far in advance the ticket is purchased.

[^2]
### 2.2 Alternative Bus Service

To evaluate requirements for scheduled coach bus service in lieu of current EASsubsidized flights on each route, this analysis assumes that there will be an equal number of scheduled bus trips each week as currently scheduled EAS flights. The number of currently scheduled weekly one-way fights (outbound and inbound) ranges from 14 to 72 on the routes analyzed.

Total one-way route length (miles) and average drive time (hours) for the bus on each route were determined using Google Maps, for trips from each rural EAS airport to the regional hub airport destination. For the routes analyzed this data results in average route speeds of between 46.6 MPH and 63.5 MPH , exclusive of loading and disembarking time.

The minimum number of buses required to service each route was determined based on the number of weekly one-way trips required and the drive time for each trip, assuming that trips would only be scheduled for fifteen hours per day ( 6 AM - 9 PM, or equivalent), and that average daily bus availability would be $85 \%$. For most routes a minimum of two buses are required, while a few routes require only one bus and a few require three.

The analysis assumes that the calculated minimum number of buses (and their annualized purchase costs) would be dedicated to the route and not shared with other routes; this is a conservative assumption because for most routes bus utilization using this assumption (hours used $\div$ hours available) is less than $70 \%$. The annualized capital cost used is $\$ 75,960 / \mathrm{bus} /$ year based on an average bus purchase price of $\$ 500,000$, an eight year bus life, and $5 \%$ cost of capital.

Other annual bus operating costs are calculated as follows:

- Operator Labor = Annual operator hours x $\$ 20.28 / h r$ (direct labor plus benefits)
- Bus Maintenance $=$ Annual miles x $\$ 0.39 / \mathrm{mi}$
- Annual Fuel $=$ Annual miles $\div 5.4 \mathrm{MPG} \times$ Fuel Cost (\$/gal)
- Overhead \& Profit = (Operator Labor + Maintenance + Fuel $) \times 30 \%$

Total annual bus operating costs for each route are calculated as: annualized bus purchase cost + operator labor cost + bus maintenance cost + fuel cost + overhead and profit. For the routes included in the analysis total bus operating costs range from $\$ 2.61 /$ mile to $\$ 3.27 /$ mile.

Annual bus hours are calculated as weekly trips x total trip time x 52 weeks x 1.05 - the factor of 1.05 is to account for an assumed $5 \%$ additional daily "dead-head" miles on each route ${ }^{6}$.

Annual operator hours are calculated as annual bus hours, plus $0.5 \mathrm{hr} /$ day x 365 day/yr $x$ number of operators required each day (full-time-equivalent, FTE). The number of operators required each day (FTE) is calculated as annual bus hours $\div 2,080 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr} / \mathrm{FTE}$.

Annual bus miles are calculated as weekly one-way trips $x$ distance per trip $\times 52$ weeks/year x 1.05 (to account for assumed 5\% daily dead-head miles).

Bus operating cost factors ( $\$ / \mathrm{hr}$ operator labor, $\$ / \mathrm{mi}$ maintenance, $\mathrm{OH} \& \mathrm{P} \%$, average bus purchase price, and average bus MPG) were determined via a survey of American Bus Association (ABA) member companies. Responding companies represent national, large and medium sized companies from various parts of the country. These companies operate a total of approximately 2,000 coach buses. While there was variability in the responses received, there was no clear pattern of regional differences, so the analysis assumes one set of bus operating cost factors for all routes. For each cost factor the values used represent the median of all responses received.

Assumed fuel costs (\$/gallon) are current average retail prices published by the Energy Information Administration (Weekly Retail Gasoline and Diesel Prices, 8/22/11, Diesel (on highway) all types). The assumed fuel prices vary from a low of $\$ 3.77 /$ gallon in the East South Central region, to a high of $\$ 3.99 /$ gallon in the New England region.

Note that all of the assumptions used to evaluate operating costs for coach buses on each route are conservative (high) - it is likely that in a competitive environment actual costs would be lower on many routes.

### 2.3 Incremental Trip Time

See figure 4 for a representation of how total trip time was calculated for both current EAS flights, and alternative bus trips, on each route. As shown, the assumed total trip time for EAS flights includes the scheduled flight time, and an assumed average flight delay, both of which vary by route. It also includes for every route a constant 60 minutes for check-in/security clearance at the EAS airport (per TSA guidelines), and ten minutes for deplaning at the hub airport.

[^3]


Figure 4 Calculation of Total Trip Time for EAS Flights and Alternative Bus Trips
For bus trips the assumed total trip time includes the free-flow route driving time, and an assumed average congestion delay for the urban portion of the trip (near the hub airport), both of which vary by route. It also includes for every route a constant 15 minutes for bus check-in at the EAS airport (or bus terminal), and 15 minutes for disembarking at the hub airport.

It is likely that most current trips taken on EAS-subsidized flights do not end at the hub airport, but continue on with a second flight to the passenger's final destination. Waiting and check-in time for these follow-on flights was not included in the total trip time for either current air flights or alternative bus trips. This analysis assumes that the minimum scheduled connection time at the hub airport between flight legs (for air passengers), and the time for check-in/security clearance at the hub airport (for bus passengers) would be essentially equal. Also, given that for most routes there are only two or three round-trip flights per day from the rural airport to the hub airport, actual wait time at the hub airport between flight legs is likely highly variable by passenger.

For each route, scheduled flight time for air trips was taken from the air carrier's website. Average flight delay time for each route was taken from Flight Stats. ${ }^{7}$ The average flight delay for different routes ranges from 8 minutes to 95 minutes. The passenger-weighted average flight delay for all routes is 26 minutes.
For bus trips average free-flow drive time was taken from Google Maps. For each route the assumed average congestion delay is based on the Travel Time Index and Daily Congestion Time for the urban area which includes the hub airport destination. These values are published in the 2010 Annual Urban Mobility Report. ${ }^{8}$

[^4]The travel time index is a measure of the ratio of travel time in the peak period to travel time during free flow conditions in that urban area, and the Daily Congestion Time is a measure of the average time each day (hr) in which congested conditions exist, resulting in slower drive times. To assess the average increase in travel time due to congestion during the urban portion of each bus trip (near the hub airport) this analysis assumes that for each route the urban portion is 30 miles long and that free flow traffic speed is 50 miles per hour, resulting in a baseline trip duration of 0.60 hours for the urban portion of each bus trip. This is multiplied by the travel time index to determine the increase in trip time (hr) when traffic is congested. Since not all trips will occur during peak periods, this peak period delay time is multiplied by the ratio of daily congestion time (hr) to total available daily bus travel time (15 hours) to get the average congestion delay time for all daily trips. ${ }^{9}$

For the urban areas included in the study the calculated congestion delay for the urban portion of the bus trips during peak periods ranges from four to fourteen minutes per trip, and the average congestion delay for all daily trips ranges from one to seven minutes. The passenger-weighted average congestion delay for all bus trips in the study is 2 minutes.

For each route the incremental total trip time for bus trips compared to current EASsubsidized fights is calculated by subtracting total air trip time from total bus trip time. For most routes included in the analysis the incremental trip time is positive (i.e. the bus trip takes longer than the air trip).

### 2.4 Value of Incremental Trip Time

To determine the appropriate monetary value for incremental trip time for this analysis the authors used the methodology recommended by the U.S. Department of Transportation for transportation investments. ${ }^{10}$ For personal travel this methodology starts with U.S. Census data on median annual household income, by census region, to calculate median hourly income ( $\$ / \mathrm{hr}$ ); DOT recommends using $110 \%$ of this figure for the value of time related to personal air travel. For business travel the methodology starts with data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics on total employer costs for employee compensation (\$/hr), by census region; DOT recommends using $189 \%$ of this figure for the value of time related to business air travel. To determine a single figure for the value of time for all air travel DOT assumes that $68.7 \%$ of travel is personal and $31.3 \%$ is for business.

[^5]Using this methodology, the values used to monetize incremental trip times in this study range from a low of $\$ 28.71 / \mathrm{hr}$ (East South Central region) to a high of $\$ 42.35 / \mathrm{hr}$ (New England region).

### 2.5 Emissions and Fuel Use

For the specific aircraft used on current EAS-subsidized fights average fuel use (gallons/flight-hour) was gathered from various websites that offer aircraft specifications and advice to aircraft owners on typical operating costs (See Appendix A). The values used for average fuel use range from $37 \mathrm{gal} / \mathrm{hr}$ for the 9 -seat Cessna 402, to $324 \mathrm{gal} / \mathrm{hr}$ for the 50 -seat Bombardier CRJ-200. Using these values, calculated specific energy use on the routes analyzed (mega-joules per available seat kilometer, $\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{ASK}$ ) varies from 1.07 to $4.38 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{ASK}$, with an average of $2.73 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{ASK}$ for all routes. These values are consistent with published values for specific energy use by turbo-prop aircraft used for regional service ${ }^{11}$.

Calculated $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from air flights are based on calculated total fuel use on each route, and a fuel-specific $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions factor of $10,084 \mathrm{grams}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}$ per gallon ${ }^{12}$.

Calculated exhaust emissions of $\mathrm{NOx}, \mathrm{HC}, \mathrm{CO}$, and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ from air flights are based on calculated total fuel use and fuel-specific emission factors ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{gal}$ ). These fuel-specific emission factors are based on landing and take-off (LTO) cycle emission factors for turbo-prop powered aircraft published by the IPCC. ${ }^{13}$

Annual fuel use for coach buses is based on annual miles driven on each route, and an assumption that coach buses average 5.4 MPG (per ABA cost survey). Calculated $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from bus trips are based on calculated total fuel use and a fuel-specific $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions factor of 10,084 grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ per gallon ${ }^{11}$. Calculated $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emissions from bus trips are based on calculated total fuel use and a fuel-specific emissions factor of 0.1 grams $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ per gallon ${ }^{14}$.

Calculated exhaust emissions of NOx, PM, HC, CO, from bus trips are based on calculated total annual fleet mileage and distance-specific emission factors (g/mi) from EPA's MOVES emissions model. The emissions factors used are national average

[^6]values for vehicle type = intercity bus, model year $=2011$, and roadway type $=$ composite road (mixed driving cycles representing urban and rural traffic conditions). For this analysis the authors assumed that any alternative coach service would be operated with new vehicles.

## 3 Results

This section summarizes the detailed results of this analysis for each community and route studied. Additional detail is provided in the tables included in Appendix A.

### 3.1 EAS Air Flights Compared to Coach Bus Service

See Table 2 for a summary of average costs per passenger and per seat for all EASsubsidized flights to/from the 38 EAS communities included in this study. This data is also plotted in Figure 5. As shown, average total costs for current flights range from a low of $\$ 115.41 /$ passenger (Hagerstown, MD to Baltimore-Washington Airport) to a high of $\$ 840.00 /$ passenger (Jonesboro AR to Memphis, TN). As is obvious in Figure 5, subsidy levels also vary significantly by route. The highest EAS subsidy ( $\$ 801.00 /$ passenger) also occurs on the Jonesboro-Memphis route, and the lowest subsidy (\$14.32/passenger) occurs on the route from Paducah, KY to Chicago O'Hare airport.

See Table 3 for a summary of average costs per passenger and per seat for alternative coach bus service to/from the 38 EAS communities in this study. This data is also plotted in Figure 6. As shown, for bus trips to the same hub airport as current EAS flights, average total costs for alternative bus service range from a low of $\$ 38.41$ /passenger (Hagerstown, MD to Baltimore-Washington Airport) to a high of \$543.94/passenger (Kingman, AZ to Phoenix Sky Harbor airport). As is obvious in Figure 6, for most routes bus operating costs constitute the vast majority of total cost, and the "cost" of incremental trip times for most bus trips is nominal (less than $\$ 25 /$ passenger). The route with the highest cost for incremental bus trip time is the route from El Centro, CA to Los Angles, CA, at \$78.43/passenger.

The last eight routes at the bottom of Table 3 (and at the extreme right in Figure 6) are routes for which the alternative bus trips analyzed are to a different location than current EAS-subsidized flights. For these routes the bus trip time is generally shorter than total trip time for current EAS flights, and the value of incremental trip time is negative.

Table 2 Costs of Current EAS-subsidized Air Service, by Route

|  | EAS Community | State | Current EAS Subsidized Air Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | To/from |  | Cost per Current Passenger |  |  | Cost per Scheduled Seat |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Time [hr] | Subsidy | Fare | TOTAL | Subsidy | Fare | TOTAL |
|  | Hagerstown | MD | Baltimore Washington Int'I | 2.12 | \$56.41 | \$59.00 | \$115.41 | \$45.91 | \$48.02 | \$93.93 |
|  | Lancaster | PA | Baltimore Washington Int'l | 2.07 | \$107.06 | \$49.00 | \$156.06 | \$52.37 | \$23.97 | \$76.34 |
|  | Athens | GA | Hartsfield Int'I, Atlanta, GA (L) | 2.25 | \$109.20 | \$61.50 | \$170.70 | \$93.61 | \$52.72 | \$146.32 |
| A | Lebanon/WRJ, VT | NH | Boston | 2.52 | \$149.98 | \$69.50 | \$219.48 | \$89.58 | \$41.51 | \$131.09 |
| A | Jamestown | NY | Cleveland | 3.13 | \$222.79 | \$89.00 | \$311.79 | \$46.09 | \$18.41 | \$64.50 |
| R | Bradford | PA | Cleveland | 2.71 | \$183.54 | \$103.00 | \$286.54 | \$30.57 | \$17.16 | \$47.72 |
|  | Jones boro | AR | Memphis Int'I, TN (M) | 2.00 | \$801.00 | \$39.00 | \$840.00 | \$74.45 | \$3.63 | \$78.08 |
| \& | Morgantown | WV | Washington Dulles | 2.80 | \$66.15 | \$96.00 | \$162.15 | \$23.38 | \$33.93 | \$57.32 |
| B | Johnstown | PA | Washington Dulles | 2.75 | \$98.98 | \$106.00 | \$204.98 | \$26.30 | \$28.17 | \$54.47 |
| U | Jackson | TN | Nashville Int'I | 2.33 | \$240.79 | \$59.00 | \$299.79 | \$68.92 | \$16.89 | \$85.80 |
|  | Oil City/Franklin | PA | Cleveland | 3.28 | \$331.56 | \$73.50 | \$405.06 | \$30.87 | \$6.84 | \$37.72 |
|  | Kingman | AZ | Phoenix-Sky Harbor | 2.32 | \$651.28 | \$65.92 | \$717.20 | \$45.48 | \$4.60 | \$50.09 |
| R | Owensboro | KY | Nashville Int'I | 2.25 | CANNOT BE determined ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  | CANNOT be determined ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  |
|  | Altoona | PA | Washington Dulles | 3.28 | \$194.85 | \$106.00 | \$300.85 | \$24.92 | \$13.56 | \$38.47 |
|  | Quincy | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 2.20 | \$125.71 | \$49.97 | \$175.68 | \$58.07 | \$23.08 | \$81.16 |
|  | Clarksburg | WV | Washington Dulles | 2.98 | \$69.58 | \$86.00 | \$155.58 | \$23.38 | \$28.90 | \$52.28 |
| T | El Centro | CA | Los Angeles | 2.55 | \$194.87 | \$71.00 | \$265.87 | \$42.40 | \$15.45 | \$57.85 |
|  | Parkersburg/Marietta | WV | Cleveland | 2.72 | \$241.21 | \$135.00 | \$376.21 | \$55.72 | \$31.18 | \$86.90 |
|  | Rutland | VT | Boston | 2.68 | \$72.07 | \$109.00 | \$181.07 | \$40.55 | \$61.33 | \$101.89 |
| E | DuBois | PA | Cleveland | 2.70 | \$194.57 | \$95.00 | \$289.57 | \$49.04 | \$23.95 | \$72.99 |
|  | Decatur | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 2.33 | \$627.53 | \$42.00 | \$669.53 | \$182.95 | \$12.25 | \$195.20 |
|  | Decatur | IL | Chicago O'Hare | 2.75 | \$627.53 | \$42.00 | \$669.53 | \$182.95 | \$12.25 | \$195.20 |
|  | Marion/Herrin | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | 2.29 | \$130.77 | \$49.97 | \$180.74 | \$66.13 | \$25.27 | \$91.40 |
|  | Muscle Shoals | AL | Memphis Int'I, TN (M) | 2.04 | \$147.08 | \$478.00 | \$625.08 | \$51.58 | \$167.62 | \$219.20 |
| $0$ | Cape Girardeau | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 2.43 | \$167.42 | \$49.97 | \$217.39 | \$34.33 | \$10.25 | \$44.57 |
| A | Victoria | TX | Houston Bush | 2.59 | \$184.27 | \$142.00 | \$326.27 | \$40.39 | \$31.13 | \$71.52 |
| T | Pueblo | CO | Denver Int'I, CO (L) | 2.39 | \$55.83 | \$90.42 | \$146.25 | \$27.41 | \$44.39 | \$71.80 |
|  | Fort Leonard Wood | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 2.36 | \$177.70 | \$59.00 | \$236.70 | \$63.17 | \$20.97 | \$84.14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \mathrm{o} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | Mason City | IA | Minneapolis/St. Paul Int'l, MN (L) | 2.26 | \$36.73 | \$257.00 | \$293.73 | \$20.55 | \$143.83 | \$164.38 |
|  | Staunton | VA | Washington Dulles Int'l, VA (L) | 2.39 | \$104.75 | \$96.00 | \$200.75 | \$61.30 | \$56.18 | \$117.49 |
|  | Laramie | WY | Denver Int'I, CO (L) | 2.29 | \$65.65 | \$210.18 | \$275.83 | \$33.22 | \$106.35 | \$139.57 |
|  | Kirksville | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 2.50 | \$334.30 | \$49.97 | \$384.27 | \$72.35 | \$10.81 | \$83.16 |
|  | Greenville | MS | Memphis Int'I, TN (M) | 2.16 | \$121.55 | \$294.50 | \$416.05 | \$32.46 | \$78.63 | \$111.09 |
| AIR \& BUS TRIPS TO DIFFERENT LOCATIONS | Eau Claire | WI | Chicago O'Hare | 2.79 | \$47.15 | \$126.00 | \$173.15 | \$23.80 | \$63.59 | \$87.38 |
|  | Prescott | AZ | Los Angeles | 3.27 | \$116.91 | \$127.92 | \$244.83 | \$66.23 | \$55.62 | \$121.85 |
|  | Prescott | AZ | Denver | 4.28 | \$116.91 | \$127.92 | \$244.83 | \$66.23 | \$55.62 | \$121.85 |
|  | Merced | CA | McCarran Int'I, Las Vegas, NV | 4.25 | \$478.10 | \$108.92 | \$587.02 | \$70.89 | \$15.51 | \$86.41 |
|  | Merced | CA | Los Angeles, CA | 3.20 | \$478.10 | \$108.92 | \$587.02 | \$70.89 | \$15.51 | \$86.41 |
|  | Laurel/Hattiesburg | MS | Memphis Int'I, TN (M) | 2.81 | \$50.81 | \$423.00 | \$473.81 | \$28.26 | \$235.25 | \$263.51 |
|  | Grand Island | NE | Dallas/Fort Worth | 3.23 | CANNOT | be determi | NED ${ }^{[1]}$ | CANN | T be deter | NED ${ }^{[1]}$ |
|  | Paducah | KY | Chicago O'Hare | 3.16 | \$14.32 | \$104.50 | \$118.82 | \$7.83 | \$57.14 | \$64.97 |
| [1] | Carrier offers subsidized and unsubsidized service from this airport. Given available information, cannot determine the number of passengers on subsidized routes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

See Figure 7 for a comparison of the total cost of current EAS-subsidized flights (\$/passenger, including fare and subsidy) to the operating cost (\$/passenger) of alternative bus service, not including the cost of incremental trip time. As shown, for all routes in the analysis the operating cost of coach bus service is significantly less than the operating cost of air service. The route with the biggest difference is the route from Muscle Shoals, AL to Memphis airport, where coach bus service would cost $\$ 583.89 /$ passenger less to operate than current air service. The route with the smallest difference is Hagerstown, MD to Baltimore airport, where coach bus service would cost $\$ 77.34 /$ passenger less to operate than current air service.

For all routes included in the analysis total costs for scheduled coach bus service are at least $24 \%$ less than total costs for air service (\$/passenger) and are as much as $96 \%$ less.


Figure 5 Total Cost of Current EAS-subsidized Air Flights (average \$/passenger)

Table 3 Costs for Alternative Coach Bus Service to EAS Communities, by Route

|  | EAS Community | State | Alternative Bus Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | To/from | Trip Time | Cost per Current Passenger |  |  | Cost per Scheduled Seat |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | [hr] | Optg <br> Cost | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Incr Trip } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TOTAL | Optg <br> Cost | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Incr Trip } \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | TOTAL |
|  | Hagerstown | MD | Baltimore Washington Int'I | 2.13 | \$38.06 | \$0.35 | \$38.41 | \$5.07 | \$0.35 | \$5.42 |
|  | Lancaster | PA | Baltimore Washington Int'I | 2.26 | \$67.64 | \$7.49 | \$75.13 | \$5.41 | \$7.49 | \$12.91 |
|  | Athens | GA | Hartsfield Int'I, Atlanta, GA (L) | 2.34 | \$38.25 | \$3.12 | \$41.37 | \$5.37 | \$3.12 | \$8.49 |
|  | Lebanon/WRJ, VT | NH | Boston | 2.52 | \$66.63 | \$0.14 | \$66.78 | \$6.51 | \$0.14 | \$6.65 |
| 1 | Jamestown | NY | Cleveland | 3.10 | \$119.54 | -\$1.01 | \$118.53 | \$8.54 | -\$1.01 | \$7.53 |
| R | Bradford | PA | Cleveland | 4.13 | \$180.84 | \$54.69 | \$235.53 | \$10.41 | \$54.69 | \$65.09 |
|  | Jonesboro | AR | Memphis Int'l, TN (M) | 1.94 | \$330.37 | -\$2.03 | \$328.35 | \$5.02 | -\$2.03 | \$3.00 |
| \& | Morgantown | WV | Washington Dulles | 4.19 | \$45.65 | \$46.41 | \$92.06 | \$9.97 | \$46.41 | \$56.38 |
| B | Johnstown | PA | Washington Dulles | 3.89 | \$57.21 | \$44.05 | \$101.27 | \$9.40 | \$44.05 | \$53.45 |
| U | Jackson | TN | Nashville Int'l | 2.86 | \$166.20 | \$15.04 | \$181.24 | \$7.78 | \$15.04 | \$22.83 |
| S | Oil City/Franklin | PA | Cleveland | 2.57 | \$203.27 | -\$27.52 | \$175.75 | \$6.54 | -\$27.52 | -\$20.98 |
|  | Kingman | AZ | Phoenix-Sky Harbor | 4.36 | \$470.81 | \$73.13 | \$543.94 | \$11.36 | \$73.13 | \$84.49 |
| R | Owensboro | KY | Nashville Int'l | 2.86 | CANNOT Be determined ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  | \$7.70 | \$17.43 | \$25.13 |
| 1 | Altoona | PA | Washington Dulles | 3.44 | \$105.10 | \$6.40 | \$111.50 | \$8.31 | \$6.40 | \$14.71 |
| P | Quincy | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 2.89 | \$92.99 | \$23.79 | \$116.78 | \$7.03 | \$23.79 | \$30.82 |
| P | Clarksburg | WV | Washington Dulles | 4.59 | \$50.41 | \$53.70 | \$104.11 | \$10.47 | \$53.70 | \$64.17 |
|  | El Centro | CA | Los Angeles | 4.52 | \$102.10 | \$78.43 | \$180.52 | \$12.12 | \$78.43 | \$90.54 |
| $0$ | Parkersburg/Marietta | WV | Cleveland | 3.53 | \$111.11 | \$27.11 | \$138.22 | \$8.87 | \$27.11 | \$35.98 |
|  | Rutland | VT | Boston | 3.72 | \$103.15 | \$44.37 | \$147.52 | \$9.50 | \$44.37 | \$53.87 |
| S | DuBois | PA | Cleveland | 3.33 | \$101.05 | \$24.22 | \$125.27 | \$8.80 | \$24.22 | \$33.02 |
| A | Decatur | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 3.05 | \$378.95 | \$25.06 | \$404.02 | \$8.17 | \$25.06 | \$33.23 |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | Decatur | IL | Chicago O'Hare | 3.89 | \$378.95 | \$39.76 | \$418.71 | \$9.91 | \$39.76 | \$49.67 |
|  | Marion/Herrin | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 2.95 | \$87.57 | \$23.12 | \$110.69 | \$7.25 | \$23.12 | \$30.37 |
| $0$ | Muscle Shoals | AL | Memphis Int'I, TN (M) | 3.42 | \$41.19 | \$39.73 | \$80.92 | \$8.93 | \$39.73 | \$48.66 |
|  | Cape Girardeau | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 2.77 | \$98.68 | \$12.03 | \$110.71 | \$6.99 | \$12.03 | \$19.02 |
| A | Victoria | TX | Houston Bush | 3.24 | \$56.31 | \$21.28 | \$77.59 | \$7.63 | \$21.28 | \$28.91 |
| TI | Pueblo | CO | Denver Int'I, CO (L) | 2.78 | \$41.53 | \$13.91 | \$55.44 | \$7.04 | \$13.91 | \$20.96 |
|  | Fort Leonard Wood | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 2.94 | \$124.79 | \$20.19 | \$144.99 | \$7.26 | \$20.19 | \$27.45 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | Mason City | IA | Minneapolis/St. Paul Int'l, MN (L) | 2.67 | \$19.23 | \$14.49 | \$33.72 | \$6.65 | \$14.49 | \$21.14 |
|  | Staunton | VA | Washington Dulles Int'I, VA (L) | 2.93 | \$37.87 | \$17.99 | \$55.86 | \$7.66 | \$17.99 | \$25.64 |
|  | Laramie | WY | Denver Int'I, CO (L) | 2.99 | \$47.99 | \$25.35 | \$73.33 | \$8.39 | \$25.35 | \$33.73 |
|  | Kirksville | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | 4.02 | \$281.11 | \$53.45 | \$334.56 | \$9.96 | \$53.45 | \$63.40 |
|  | Greenville | MS | Memphis Int'l, TN (M) | 3.37 | \$54.44 | \$34.62 | \$89.06 | \$8.99 | \$34.62 | \$43.61 |
| AIR \& BUS TRIPS TO DIFFERENT LOCATIONS | Eau Claire | WI | Minneapolis/St. Paul Int'l, MN (L) | 2.30 | \$11.66 | (\$17.13) | (\$5.47) | \$5.35 | (\$17.13) | (\$11.78) |
|  | Prescott | AZ | Sky Harbor Mun., Phoenix, AZ (L) | 2.59 | \$52.04 | (\$24.52) | \$27.53 | \$6.79 | (\$24.52) | (\$17.73) |
|  | Prescott | AZ | Sky Harbor Mun., Phoenix, AZ (L) | 2.59 | \$52.04 | (\$60.68) | (\$8.63) | \$6.79 | (\$60.68) | (\$53.89) |
|  | Merced | CA | San Jose Int'l, CA (M) | 2.78 | \$218.75 | (\$58.47) | \$160.28 | \$5.60 | (\$58.47) | (\$52.86) |
|  | Merced | CA | San Jose Int'l, CA (M) | 2.78 | \$218.75 | (\$16.73) | \$202.02 | \$5.60 | (\$16.73) | (\$11.13) |
|  | Laurel/Hattiesburg | MS | New Orleans Int'l, LA (M) | 2.64 | \$19.52 | (\$4.83) | \$14.69 | \$6.71 | (\$4.83) | \$1.88 |
|  | Grand Island | NE | Eppley Airfield, Omaha, NE (M) | 3.29 | CANNOT BE DETERMINED ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  | \$7.88 | \$2.22 | \$10.10 |
|  | Paducah | KY | Nashville Metropolitan, TN (M) | 3.02 | \$14.94 | (\$3.89) | \$11.06 | \$7.43 | (\$3.89) | \$3.54 |
| [1] | Carrier offers subsidized and unsubsidized service from this airport. Given available information, cannot determine the number of passengers on subsidized routes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 6 Total Cost of Alternative Coach Bus Service to EAS Communities (average \$/passenger)


Figure 7 Total Cost of Current EAS-subsidized flights Compared to Operating Cost of Bus Service

See Table 4 for a summary of estimated annual fuel use and exhaust emissions from current EAS-subsidized air flights on each route included in this study. As shown, there is a wide variance in annual fuel use and emissions by route, based on the number of annual flights, route length, and the size of the aircraft operated. In total, EASsubsidized flights to/from the 38 EAS communities included in this study burn approximately 7.9 million gallons of jet fuel ${ }^{15}$ annually, and emit approximately 88,000 tons of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, approximately 28 tons of NOx, approximately 1,188 tons of HC, approximately 2,067 tons of CO , and approximately 28 tons of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}{ }^{16}$.

[^7]Table 4 Emissions from Current EAS-subsidized Air Flights


See Table 5 for a summary of estimated annual fuel use and exhaust emissions from alternative coach bus service on each route included in this study. In total, alternative bus service to/from the 38 EAS communities included in this study would burn approximately 2.2 million gallons of diesel fuel annually, and would emit approximately 24,600 tons of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, approximately 14.9 tons of NOx , approximately 0.3 tons of PM, approximately 2.0 tons of HC, approximately 1.2 tons of CO, and approximately 0.2 tons of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$. Note that this analysis assumes that because alternative bus service would be "new" service on most, if not all, routes included in this study the emissions calculations assume the use of new model year 2011 buses for all routes.

Based on a significant change in EPA emission regulations over the past few years new coach buses have significantly lower emissions of NOx and PM than buses built prior to model year 2007. If service were operated with existing, older buses actual emissions would be higher than those shown in Table 5.

Table 5 Emissions from Alternative Bus Service to EAS Communities

|  | Alternative Coach Bus Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To/from | Annual Miles(mi) | Annual Fuel <br> (gal) | Annual Emissions from Bus Operations |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> (ton) | NOx <br> (ton) | PM <br> (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HC } \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | CO <br> (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SO}_{2} \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Baltimore Washington Int'\| | 261,731 | 48,469 | 538.8 | 0.33 | 0.006 | 0.043 | 0.026 | 0.005 |
| A | Baltimore Washington Int'I | 282,522 | 52,319 | 581.6 | 0.35 | 0.006 | 0.047 | 0.028 | 0.006 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I} \\ & \mathbf{R} \end{aligned}$ | Hartsfield Int'I, Atlanta, GA (L) | 109,812 | 20,335 | 226.0 | 0.14 | 0.002 | 0.018 | 0.011 | 0.002 |
|  | Boston | 376,085 | 69,645 | 774.1 | 0.47 | 0.008 | 0.062 | 0.037 | 0.008 |
| \& | Cleveland | 306,634 | 56,784 | 631.2 | 0.38 | 0.007 | 0.051 | 0.030 | 0.006 |
|  | Cleveland | 389,189 | 72,072 | 801.1 | 0.48 | 0.009 | 0.064 | 0.039 | 0.008 |
| B | Memphis Int'l, TN (M) | 105,618 | 19,559 | 217.4 | 0.13 | 0.002 | 0.017 | 0.010 | 0.002 |
|  | Washington Dulles | 367,567 | 68,068 | 756.6 | 0.46 | 0.008 | 0.061 | 0.036 | 0.008 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \mathbf{S} \end{aligned}$ | Washington Dulles | 332,186 | 61,516 | 683.8 | 0.41 | 0.007 | 0.055 | 0.033 | 0.007 |
|  | Nashville Int'l | 296,696 | 54,944 | 610.7 | 0.37 | 0.007 | 0.049 | 0.029 | 0.006 |
| T | Cleveland | 208,026 | 38,523 | 428.2 | 0.26 | 0.005 | 0.034 | 0.021 | 0.004 |
| R | Phoenix-Sky Harbor | 288,179 | 53,366 | 593.2 | 0.36 | 0.006 | 0.048 | 0.029 | 0.006 |
| I | Nashville Int'l | 290,472 | 53,791 | 597.9 | 0.36 | 0.006 | 0.048 | 0.029 | 0.006 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P} \\ & \mathbf{S} \end{aligned}$ | Washington Dulles | 300,846 | 55,712 | 619.3 | 0.37 | 0.007 | 0.050 | 0.030 | 0.006 |
|  | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 511,056 | 94,640 | 1,052.0 | 0.64 | 0.011 | 0.085 | 0.051 | 0.010 |
|  | Washington Dulles | 385,258 | 71,344 | 793.0 | 0.48 | 0.008 | 0.064 | 0.038 | 0.008 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | Los Angeles | 353,153 | 65,399 | 726.9 | 0.44 | 0.008 | 0.058 | 0.035 | 0.007 |
|  | Cleveland | 471,744 | 87,360 | 971.1 | 0.59 | 0.010 | 0.078 | 0.047 | 0.010 |
|  | Boston | 421,949 | 78,139 | 868.6 | 0.53 | 0.009 | 0.070 | 0.042 | 0.009 |
|  | Cleveland | 439,530 | 81,394 | 904.7 | 0.55 | 0.010 | 0.073 | 0.044 | 0.009 |
| A | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 290,909 | 53,872 | 598.8 | 0.36 | 0.006 | 0.048 | 0.029 | 0.006 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{M} \end{aligned}$ | Chicago O'Hare | 375,430 | 69,524 | 772.8 | 0.47 | 0.008 | 0.062 | 0.037 | 0.008 |
|  | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 493,802 | 91,445 | 1,016.5 | 0.62 | 0.011 | 0.082 | 0.049 | 0.010 |
|  | Memphis Int'l, TN (M) | 224,734 | 41,617 | 462.6 | 0.28 | 0.005 | 0.037 | 0.022 | 0.005 |
| L | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 343,325 | 63,579 | 706.7 | 0.43 | 0.008 | 0.057 | 0.034 | 0.007 |
| $0$ | Houston Bush | 210,101 | 38,908 | 432.5 | 0.26 | 0.005 | 0.035 | 0.021 | 0.004 |
|  | Denver Int'I, CO (L) | 345,946 | 64,064 | 712.1 | 0.43 | 0.008 | 0.057 | 0.034 | 0.007 |
| A | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 379,470 | 70,272 | 781.1 | 0.47 | 0.008 | 0.063 | 0.038 | 0.008 |
|  | Minneapolis/St. Paul Int'I, MN (L) | 197,215 | 36,521 | 406.0 | 0.25 | 0.004 | 0.033 | 0.020 | 0.004 |
|  | Washington Dulles Int'I, VA (L) | 261,425 | 48,412 | 538.1 | 0.33 | 0.006 | 0.043 | 0.026 | 0.005 |
| $0$ | Denver Int'I, CO (L) | 306,634 | 56,784 | 631.2 | 0.38 | 0.007 | 0.051 | 0.030 | 0.006 |
| N | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | 458,640 | 84,933 | 944.1 | 0.57 | 0.010 | 0.076 | 0.046 | 0.009 |
|  | Memphis Int'l, TN (M) | 229,320 | 42,467 | 472.0 | 0.29 | 0.005 | 0.038 | 0.023 | 0.005 |
| AIR \& BUS TRIPS TO DIFFERENT LOCATIONS | Minneapolis/St. Paul Int'I, MN (L) | 140,191 | 25,961 | 288.6 | 0.17 | 0.003 | 0.023 | 0.014 | 0.003 |
|  | Sky Harbor Mun., Phoenix, AZ (L) <br> Sky Harbor Mun., Phoenix, AZ (L) | 274,194 | 50,777 | 564.4 | 0.34 | 0.006 | 0.045 | 0.027 | 0.006 |
|  | San Jose Int'I, CA (M) <br> San Jose Int'I, CA (M) | 274,194 | 50,777 | 564.4 | 0.34 | 0.006 | 0.045 | 0.027 | 0.006 |
|  | New Orleans Int'I, LA (M) | 201,802 | 37,371 | 415.4 | 0.25 | 0.004 | 0.033 | 0.020 | 0.004 |
|  | Eppley Airfield, Omaha, NE (M) | 220,038 | 40,748 | 452.9 | 0.27 | 0.005 | 0.036 | 0.022 | 0.004 |
|  | Nashville Metropolitan, TN (M) | 227,791 | 42,184 | 468.9 | 0.28 | 0.005 | 0.038 | 0.023 | 0.005 |
|  | TOTAL | 11,953,411 | 2,213,595 | 24,605 | 14.89 | 0.264 | 1.976 | 1.186 | 0.244 |

See Figure 8 and Figure 9 for a comparison of estimated average emissions (pounds) per passenger and per passenger-mile from the current EAS flights included in this study, to average estimated emissions from alternative coach bus service on the same routes. In these figures NOx and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emissions are multiplied by 1,000 , HC emissions are multiplied by 100, and CO emissions are multiplied by 10 to allow them to be shown on the same graph as $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions.

As shown in these figures, the use of new coach buses to operate scheduled service on these routes instead of the current air flights would reduce per passenger $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions by $72 \%$, would reduce per passenger NOx emission by $47 \%$, and would reduce per passenger emissions of $\mathrm{HC}, \mathrm{CO}$, and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ by over $99 \%$.

The use of new coach buses to operate scheduled service on these routes instead of the current air flights would reduce per passenger-mile $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions by $57 \%$, would reduce per passenger-mile NOx emission by $19 \%$, and would reduce per passenger-mile emissions of $\mathrm{HC}, \mathrm{CO}$, and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ by over $98 \%$.


Figure 8 Average Emissions Per passenger from EAS Flights Compared to Coach Bus Service


Figure 9 Average Emissions Per passenger-mile from EAS Flights Compared to Coach Bus Service

### 3.2 Use of Smaller Buses

This analysis compares current EAS-subsidized air flights to equivalent coach bus service. Inter-city coach buses were chosen as the alternative mode for analysis because they are the most common equipment used for long-distance intercity travel and because they provide a higher level of comfort compared to some smaller vehicle options. A typical 45 -foot coach bus has 55 passenger seats, though some operators run "premium" or "executive" service with as few as 35 seats and more room per passenger in the coach.

Many of the current EAS-subsidized flights on the routes included in this analysis operate with 9-seat or 19-seat aircraft, and even with these small planes the average load factor on these flights is often less than $50 \%$. In this situation, the use of a smaller vehicle than a 55-seat coach bus to provide alternative on-road service may be more cost effective for some routes. To put bounds on the potential for further savings from better matching vehicle size to passenger demand the authors modeled an alternative bus service on each route using a 12 -seat mini-bus.

For this analysis a Mercedes Sprinter ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ van was used as the base vehicle for comparison. This vehicle is available from the factory in a 15 -seat "commuter bus" version. For this analysis we assumed that the rear three seats would be removed to provide additional luggage space, leaving 12 passenger seats. The manufacturer's suggested retail price for this vehicle is $\$ 72,000$ (commuter bus version), resulting in an annualized capital cost of $\$ 16,700 / \mathrm{yr} /$ vehicle (assuming a $5-y e a r ~ l i f e) . ~ A n n u a l ~$ maintenance costs are assumed to be $\$ 0.12 /$ mile, and average fuel economy is assumed to be $20 \mathrm{MPG}^{17}$. All other cost factors (operator labor costs, OH\&P, fuel costs) are assumed to be the same as for coach buses.

On a handful of routes current passenger volume is high enough that the use of a 12seat vehicle would require more daily/weekly trips than the number of currently scheduled EAS flights to provide enough seating capacity - this was accounted for in the analysis.

See Figure 10, which compares the average operating costs (\$/passenger) on each route from the use of a 55 -seat coach or a 12 -seat Sprinter van. Figure 11 plots the same data, but on a \$/seat basis.

As shown, on every route average operating costs per seat are much lower for the coach than for the smaller Sprinter van, but on many routes average operating costs per current passenger are higher.

The reality is that the choice of the "best" vehicle to support a particular route will vary by location, and may in fact change over time. Some routes will be best served by coach buses and others will be better served by smaller vehicles.

[^8]

Figure 10 Average Operating Costs per Passenger - Coach Bus versus Sprinter Van


Figure 11 Average Operating Costs per Seat - Coach Bus versus Sprinter Van

## APPENDIX A Detailed Analysis

## Table 1 CURRENT EAS SUBSIDIZED FLIGHTS TO/FROM COMMUNITIES WITHIN 150 MILES OF A MEDIUM OR LARGE HUB AIRPORT (Lower 48 states only)


[1] EAS Report (May 1, 2010); updated based on DOT dockets
[2] FAA emplanement data for 2010 (doubled, based on assumption that all passengers who emplane at airport return to airport)
[3] Average subsidy per passenger $=$ Annual Total Subsidy $\div$ Annual Passengers

## Table 2 DETAILS OF CURRENT EAS SUBSIDIZED FLIGHTS TO/FROM COMMUNITIES WITHIN 150 MILES OF A MEDIUM OR LARGE HUB AIRPORT (Lower 48 states only)

| EAS Community | State | Flights to/from | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fight } \\ & \text { Miles } \end{aligned}$ | Carrier | Number of Daily One-way flights to/from ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{t^{[2]}}{\text { Equipmen }}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Avg Fuel } \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Usel } \\ \text { (gal/hr } \end{array} \right\rvert\, \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Seats per } \\ \text { Flight } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { eeekl\| } \\ \text { Seats } \\ \text { sel } \end{array}\right\|$ | ScheduledFlight Time $(\mathrm{hr})^{[1]}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Average } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { Delay on } \\ \text { Route }(h)^{[5]} \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | Typical Oneway Ticket Price ${ }^{[1]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg Load } \\ & \text { Factor } 171 \end{aligned}$ | Cost per Current Passenger |  |  | Cost per Scheduled Seat |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Total Annual } \\ \text { fligh miles } \\ 122] \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Weekly } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | bsidy ${ }^{\text {[8] }}$ | Fare | Total | 19 | $\mathrm{Fare}^{\text {(10) }}$ |  |  |  |
| Hagerstown | MD | Baltimore Washington Int'\| | 67 | Cape Air | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 56 | c.402 | 37.0 | 9 | 504 | 0.70 | 0.25 | 2.12 | 559.00 | 81\% | 556.41 | 559.00 | \$115.41 | 45.91 | \$ 48.02 | \$ 93.93 | 195,104 | 75,421 |
| Lancaster | PA | Baltimore Washington Int'1 | 67 | Cape Air | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 56 | C-402 | 37.0 | 9 | 504 | 0.63 | 0.26 | 2.07 | 549.00 | 49\% | \$107.06 | 549.00 | \$156.06 | 52.37 | \$ 23.97 |  | 195,104 | 68,238 |
| Athens | GA | Hartsield Int', Atlanta, , $\mathrm{GA}(\mathrm{LL}$ | 72 | Pacific Wings | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 24 | C-2088 | 42.0 | 9 | 216 | 0.75 | 0.33 | 2.25 | 561.50 | 86\% | \$109.20 | 561.50 | \$170.70 | \$ 93.61 | \$ 52.72 | \$ 146.32 | 89,856 | 39,312 |
| Lebanon/WR, VT | NH | Boston | 109 | Cape Air | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 56 | C-402 | 37.0 | 9 | 504 | 0.92 | 0.43 | 2.52 | \$69.50 | 60\% | \$14.98 | \$69.50 | \$219.48 | ¢ 89.58 | 41.51 | \$ 131.09 | 317,408 | 98,765 |
| Jamestown | NY | cleveland | 142 | Gulftream | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | B-1900 | 110.0 | 19 | 684 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 3.13 | 589.00 | 21\% | \$222.79 | \$89.00 | \$311.79 | \$ 46.09 | \$ 18.41 | \$ 64.50 | 265,824 | 205,920 |
| Bradford | PA | cleveland | 168 | Gulfstream | 6 | 6 |  |  | 6 | - | 4 | 36 | B-1900 | 110.0 | 19 | 684 | 1.08 | 0.46 | 2.71 | \$103.00 | 17\% | \$183.54 | \$103.00 | \$286.54 | S 30.57 | S 17.16 | S 47.72 | 314,496 | 223,080 |
| Jonesboro | AR | Memphis Int', TN (M) | 79 | Seaport | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 24 | PC-12 | 74.0 | 9 | 216 | 0.50 | 0.33 | 2.00 | \$39.00 | 9\% | 5881.00 | \$39.00 | \$840.00 | \$ 74.45 | \$ 3.63 | ¢ 78.08 | 98,592 | 46,176 |
| Morgantown | wv | Washington Dulles | 141 | Colgan | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | SAAB 340 | 129.0 | 34 | 1224 | 0.98 | 0.64 | 2.80 | \$96.00 | 35\% | \$66.15 | 596.00 | \$162.15 | \$ 23.38 | \$ 33.93 | \$ 57.32 | 263,952 | 237,463 |
| Johnstown | PA | Washington Dulles | 120 | Colgan | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 36 | SAAB 340 | 129.0 | 34 | 1224 | 1.00 | 0.58 | 2.75 | \$106.00 | 27\% | 598.98 | \$106.00 | \$204.98 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 28.17 | ¢ 54.47 | 224,640 | 241,488 |
| Jackson | TN | Nashville intl' | 131 | Pacific Wings | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  | 38 | C-208 | 47.6 | 9 | 342 | 0.83 | 0.33 | 2.33 | \$59.00 | 29\% | S240.79 | \$59.00 | \$299.79 | S 68.92 | \$ 16.89 | S 85.80 | 258,856 | 78,381 |
| Oil Cit//Franklin | PA | cleveland | 103 | Gulfstream | 6 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 30 | B-1900 | 110.0 | 19 | 570 | 0.83 | 1.28 | 3.28 | 573.50 | 9\% | 5331.56 | \$73.50 | \$405.06 | \$ 30.87 | \$ 6.84 | \$ 37.72 | 160,680 | 143,000 |
| Kingman | Az | Phoenix-Sky Harbor | 168 | Great Lakes | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 26 | B-1900 | 110.0 | 19 | 494 | 0.83 | 0.31 | 2.32 | \$65.92 | 7\% | \$651.28 | \$65.92 | \$717.20 | S 45.48 | \$ 4.60 \$ | S 50.09 | 227,136 | 123,933 |
| Owensboro | kY | Nashville intl' | 115 | Pacific Wings | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 38 | C-208 | 47.6 | 9 | 342 | 0.75 | 0.33 | 2.25 | 559.00 | UNK | Cannot | be determin | ned [11] | Cannot b | be determined | ned [11] | 227,240 | 70,543 |
| Altoona | PA | Washington Dulles Int', VA (L) | 104 | Colgan | 6 | 6 |  | 6 |  | 3 | 5 | 38 | SAAB 340 | 129.0 | 34 | 1292 | 0.95 | 1.16 | 3.28 | \$106.00 | 13\% | \$194.85 | \$106.00 | \$300.85 | S 24.92 | \$ 13.56 \| | ¢ 38.47 | 205,504 | 242,159 |
| Quincy | 1 | Lambert-St. Louis Int', MO (L) | 108 | Cape Air | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |  | 6 | 72 | C-402 | 37.0 | 9 | 648 | 0.83 | 0.20 | 2.20 | 549.97 | 46\% | \$125.71 | 549.97 | \$175.68 | \$ 58.07 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 81.16 | 404,352 | 115,440 |
| Clarksurg | wv | Washington Dulles | 151 | Colgan | 6 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 4 | 36 | SAAB 340 | 129.0 | 34 | 1224 | 1.12 | 0.69 | 2.98 | \$86.00 | 34\% | 569.58 | 586.00 | \$155.58 | \$ 23.38 | S 28.90 | S 52.28 | 282,672 | 269,662 |
| EICentro | CA | Los Angeles | 180 | SkyWest | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 28 | BRASILA | 117.8 | 30 | 840 | 1.05 | 0.33 | 2.55 | 571.00 | 22\% | \$194.87 | \$71.00 | \$265.87 | S 42.40 | \$ 15.45 | S 57.85 | 262,080 | 180,093 |
| Parkersburg/Marieta | wv | cleveland | 145 | Gulfstream | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 48 | B-1900 | 110.0 | 19 | 912 | 0.92 | 0.63 | 2.72 | \$135.00 | 23\% | \$241.21 | \$135.00 | \$376.21 | S 55.72 | \$ 31.18 | \$ 86.90 | 361,920 | 251,680 |
| Rutland | VT | Boston | 127 | Cape Air | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 42 | C-402 | 37.0 | 9 | 378 | 0.98 | 0.52 | 2.68 | \$109.00 | 56\% | 572.07 | \$109.00 | \$181.07 | \$ 40.55 | S 61.33 | \$ 101.89 | 277,368 | 79,461 |
| Dubois | PA | cleveland | 154 | Gulfstream | 6 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 46 | B-1900 | 110.0 | 19 | 874 | 1.08 | 0.45 | 2.70 | \$95.00 | 25\% | \$194.57 | \$95.00 | \$289.57 | \$ 49.04 | \$ 23.95 | \$ 72.99 | 368,368 | 285,047 |
| Decatur | ı | Lambert-St. Louis hnt', M0 (L) | 120 | Air Choice One | $6$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 4 | ${ }^{36}$ | Caravan | ${ }^{47.6}$ | 9 | ${ }^{324}$ | ${ }^{0.83}$ | ${ }^{0.33}$ | ${ }^{2.33}$ | \$42.00 | 29\% | 27.53 | \$42.00 | \$669.53 | 8.95 | 12.25 | \$ 195.20 | 224,640 | 74,256 <br> 111294 |
| Marion/Herin |  | Chicago ${ }^{\text {'Hare }}$ Lare | 157 | Air Choice One | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 36 | ${ }_{\text {Caravan }}$ | 47.6 |  | 324 | 1.25 | 0.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23,904 <br> 131392 | $\frac{111,384}{109027}$ |
| Muscle Shoals | AL | (lamentis | 132 <br> 138 | Mesaba | 1 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 6 | ${ }_{4}$ | 28 | SAAB 340 | 129.0 | 34 | 952 | ${ }_{0} 0.83$ | 0.03 | 2.04 | \$4478.00 | 35\% | \$147.08 | \$478.00 | \$625.08 | \$ 51.58 | \$167.62 | \$ 219.20 | 431,392 <br> 198016 |  |
| Cape Girardeau | мо | Lambert-St. Louis Inti, MO (L) | 123 | Cape Air | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 48 | B-1900 | 11.0 | 19 | 912 | 0.92 | 0.34 | 2.43 | \$49.97 | 21\% | \$167.42 | \$49.97 | \$217.39 | \$ 34.33 | \$ 10.25 | \$ 44.57 | 307,008 | 251,680 |
| Victoria | TX | Houston Bush | 123 | Colgan | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | , | 4 | 26 | SAAB 340 | 129.0 | 34 | 884 | 0.92 | 0.50 | 2.59 | \$142.00 | 22\% | \$184.27 | \$142.00 | 5326.27 | S 40.39 | \$ 31.13 | S 71.52 | 166,296 | 159,874 |
| Pueblo | co | Denver Intil, Co (L) | 125 | Great Lakes | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 48 | B-1900 | 110.0 | 19 | 912 | 0.65 | 0.57 | 2.39 | 590.42 | 49\% | \$55.83 | \$90.42 | \$146.25 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 44.39 | \$ 71.80 | 312,000 | 178,464 |
| Fort Leoonard Wood | мо | Lambert-St. Louis Int', MO (L) | 130 | Cape Air | 8 | 8 | 8 |  |  | 8 | 8 | 50 | C.402 | 37.0 | , | 450 | 0.87 | 0.33 | 2.36 | \$59.00 | 36\% | \$177.70 | \$59.00 | \$236.70 | \$ 63.17 | \$ 20.97 | S 84.14 | 338,000 | 83,373 |
| Mason City | 1 A | Minneapolis/st. Paul, MN(L) | 132 | Mesaba | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 |  | 28 | SAAB 340 | 129.0 | 34 | 952 | 0.82 | 0.27 | 2.26 | \$257.00 | 56\% | \$36.73 | \$257.00 | \$293.73 | \$ 20.55 | \$143.83 | \$ 164.38 | 192,192 | 153,390 |
| Staunton | VA | Washingtoon Dulles Int', VA ( $L$ ) | 133 | Colgan | 6 | 6 |  | 6 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 36 | B-1900 | 110.0 | 19 | 684 | 0.82 | 0.40 | 2.39 | \$96.00 | 59\% | \$104.75 | \$96.00 | \$200.75 | \$ 61.30 | \$ 56.18 | \$ 117.49 | 248,976 | 168,158 |
| Laramie | wr | Denver Int', co (L) | 144 | Great Lakes | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 36 | B-1900 | 110.0 | 19 | 684 | 0.72 | 0.40 | 2.29 | \$210.18 | 51\% | \$65.65 | \$210.18 | \$275.83 | \$ 33.22 | \$ 106.35 | \$139.57 | 269,568 | 147,576 |
| Kirksville | мо | Lambert-St. Louis lntt, MO (L) | 149 | Cape Air | 6 | 6 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 42 | C-402 | 37.0 | 9 | 378 | 1.08 | 0.25 | 2.50 | 549.97 | 22\% | S334.30 | 549.97 | 5384.27 | S 72.35 | S 10.81 | \$ 83.16 | 325,416 | 87,542 |
| Greenville | Ms | Memphis Inti, TN (M) | 150 | Mesaba | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 28 | SAAB 340 | 129.0 | 34 | 952 | 0.85 | 0.14 | 2.16 | \$294.50 | 27\% | \$121.55 | \$294.50 | \$416.05 | S 32.46 | \$ 78.63 | \$ 111.09 | 218,400 | 159,650 |
| Eau Claire | w | Chicago O'Hare | 268 | Skrwest | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 28 | CRJ-200 | 324.0 | 50 | 1400 | 1.25 | 0.37 | 2.79 | S122.00 | 50\% | 547.15 | \$126.00 | \$173.15 | S 23.80 | \$ 63.59 | \$ 87.38 | 390,208 | 589,680 |
| Prescott |  | Los Angeles | ${ }^{345}$ | Great Lakes | 4 | 4 | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | ${ }^{28}$ | 8-1900 | 110.0 | 19 | 532 | ${ }^{1.58}$ | ${ }^{0.52}$ | 3.27 | ${ }^{598.18}$ | 57\% | \$116.91 | \$127.92 | \$244.83 | 66.23 | 55.62 | \$ 121.85 | 502,320 | 253,587 |
|  | A2 | Denver | 557 | Great Lakes | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 14 | B-1900 | 110.0 | 19 | 266 | 2.78 | 0.33 | 4.28 | \$187.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 405,496 | 222,889 <br> 240240 |
| Merce | CA | McCarran Int', Las Vegas, NV Los Angeles, CA | $\begin{aligned} & 309 \\ & 260 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Great Lakes Great Lakes | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 28 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 8-1900 \\ & B-1900 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 110.0 \\ 110.0 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 19 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 532 \\ & 532 \\ & 532 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.50 \\ & 1.70 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.58 \\ & 0.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.25 \\ & 3.20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 104.62$ | 5\% | 5478.10 | \$108.92 | 87.02 | \$ 70.89 | 15.51 | 86.4 | 449,904 | 240,240 |
| Laurel/Hattiesburg | Ms | Memphis lnt ', TN (M) | 250 | Mesaba | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 28 | saAB 340 | 129.0 | 34 | ${ }_{952}$ | 1.28 | 0.36 | 2.81 | \$423.00 | 56\% | \$50.81 | 5423.00 | 5473.81 | 528.26 | \$235.25 | \$263.51 | 364,000 | 2721,272 |
| Grand Island | NE | Dallas/Fort Worth | 585 | American Eagle | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 26 | EMB-145 | 223.0 | 50 | 1300 | 1.67 | 0.39 | 3.23 | \$201.00 | UNK | Cannot | be determin | ned [11] | Cannot b | be determinee | ned [11] | 790,920 | 502,493 |
| Paducah | kY | Chicago O'Hare | 345 | Skywest | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 28 | CRJ-200 | 324.0 | 50 | 1400 | 1.47 | 0.52 | 3.16 | \$104.50 | 55\% | \$14.32 | \$104.50 | \| $\$ 118.82$ | 7.83 | \|\$ 57.14 |\$ | \$ 64.97 | 502,320 | 691,891 |

# table 2 Notes <br> [1] Carrier websites, accessed $8 / 18 / 2011$ and $8 / 19 / 2011$. Prices and flight times represent lowest price and shortest duration of outbound on $9 / 19 / 2011$. Prices and durations for direct flights only unless no direct flights exist. 

[2] EAS Report (May 1, 2010); updated based on DOT dockets
[3] See Table 11
[4] Weekly seats $=$ Weekly total flights $x$ seats per flight
[5] See Table 10
[6] Total Travel Time $=$ Scheduled Flight time + Average Delay on Route + Pre-flight wait time + Deplaning time
7] Average Load Factor = Annual passengers [Table 1] $\div$ (weekly seats $\times 52$ weeks)
[8] Average subsidy per passenger = Annual Total Subsidy [Table 1] $\div$ Annual Passengers [Table 1]
[8] Average subsidy per passenger $=$ Annual Total Subsidy [Table 1] $\div$ Annual Passengers [Table 1$]$
[9] Average subsidy per seat $=$ Annual Total Subsidy [Table 1$] \div($ weekly seats $\times 52$ weeks/year)
[10] Average fare per scheduled seat = Typical one-way ticket price $x$ current annual passengers [Table 1$] \div$ (weekly seats $\times 52$ weeks/year). Note that this is a minumum number because it assumes no increase in fare revenue as load factor increases.
[10] Average fare per scheduled seat = Typical one-way ticket price x current annual passengers [Table 1$] \div$ (weekly seats $\times 52$ weeks/year). Note that this is a minumu
[11] Carrier offers subsidized and unsubsidized service from this airport. Cannot determine the number of passengers on subsidized routes given available information.
[11] Carrier offers subsidized and unsubsidized service from this airport. Cannot dete
[12] Total Annual Flight Miles $=$ Weekly Flights $\times 52$ weeks/yr $\times$ Flight miles per flight
[13] Total Annual Fuel Use (gal) $=$ Weekly Flights $\times 52$ weeks/yr $\times$ Flight Time (hr) $\times$ Average Fuel Use (gal/hr)

## TABLE 3: ALTERNATE BUS SERVICE TO/FROM CURRENT AIRPORTS SERVICED BY EAS-SUBSIDIZED FLIGHTS

| EAS Community | State | Current EAS Flights to/from | Alternate Bus Service |  |  |  |  |  |  | Minimum Service - Current Flight Frequency |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bus service to/from | One-way Trip ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Weekly oneway Trips to/from ${ }^{\text {[5] }}$ | Min Buses Required ${ }^{[6]}$ | Utilization <br> Factor ${ }^{[7]}$ | Annual Miles ${ }^{[8]}$ | Weekly <br> Seats ${ }^{[9]}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Route | Distance (mi) | Drive Time (hr) | Avg Congestion Delav (hr) ${ }^{[2]}$ | Total Trip <br> Time (hr) ${ }^{[3]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Incr Trip } \\ & \text { Time (hr) }{ }^{[4]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hagerstown | MD | Baltimore Washington Int'I | Baltimore Washington Int'I | $1-70 \mathrm{E}$ | 86 | 1.60 | 0.027 | 2.13 | 0.01 | 56 | 2 | 56.7\% | 261,731 | 3,080 |
| Lancaster | PA | Baltimore Washington Int'I | Baltimore Washington Int'\| | $1-83 \mathrm{~S}$ | 92 | 1.73 | 0.027 | 2.26 | 0.19 | 56 | 2 | 60.3\% | 282,522 | 3,080 |
| Athens | GA | Hartsfield Int'l, Atlanta, GA (L) | Hartsfield Int'l, Atlanta, GA (L) | GA-316 W and I-85 S | 84 | 1.80 | 0.044 | 2.34 | 0.09 | 24 | 1 | 53.6\% | 109,812 | 1,320 |
| Lebanon/WRJ, VT | NH | Boston | Boston | $1-89 \mathrm{~S}$ and 1-93 S | 123 | 1.98 | 0.040 | 2.52 | 0.00 | 56 | 2 | 67.3\% | 376,085 | 3,080 |
| Jamestown | NY | Cleveland | Cleveland | 1-90 W | 156 | 2.58 | 0.016 | 3.10 | -0.03 | 36 | 2 | 53.1\% | 306,634 | 1,980 |
| Bradford | PA | Cleveland | Cleveland | 1-90 W | 198 | 3.62 | 0.016 | 4.13 | 1.42 | 36 | 2 | 70.8\% | 389,189 | 1,980 |
| Jonesboro | AR | Memphis Int', TN (M) | Memphis Int', TN (M) | US-63 S and l-55 S | 81 | 1.42 | 0.021 | 1.94 | -0.06 | 24 | 1 | 44.3\% | 105,618 | 1,320 |
| Morgantown | WV | Washington Dulles | Washington Dulles | $1-68 \mathrm{E}$ | 187 | 3.62 | 0.078 | 4.19 | 1.40 | 36 | 2 | 71.9\% | 367,567 | 1,980 |
| Johnstown | PA | Washington Dulles | Washington Dulles | $1-70 \mathrm{E}$ | 169 | 3.32 | 0.078 | 3.89 | 1.14 | 36 | 2 | 66.8\% | 332,186 | 1,980 |
| Jackson | TN | Nashville Int'l | Nashville Int'l | $1-40 \mathrm{E}$ | 143 | 2.33 | 0.024 | 2.86 | 0.52 | 38 | 2 | 51.7\% | 296,696 | 2,090 |
| Oil City/Franklin | PA | Cleveland | Cleveland | 1-80 W | 127 | 2.05 | 0.016 | 2.57 | -0.72 | 30 | 1 | 73.3\% | 208,026 | 1,650 |
| Kingman | AZ | Phoenix-Sky Harbor | Phoenix-Sky Harbor | US-93 S | 203 | 3.82 | 0.040 | 4.36 | 2.04 | 26 | 2 | 53.9\% | 288,179 | 1,430 |
| Owensboro | KY | Nashville Int'I | Nashville Int'\| | KY-9007 S | 140 | 2.33 | 0.024 | 2.86 | 0.61 | 38 | 2 | 51.7\% | 290,472 | 2,090 |
| Altoona | PA | Washington Dulles | Washington Dulles | $1-70 \mathrm{E}$ | 145 | 2.87 | 0.078 | 3.44 | 0.17 | 38 | 2 | 62.3\% | 300,846 | 2,090 |
| Quincy | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | Lambert-St. Louis Int', MO (L) | US-61 S | 130 | 2.37 | 0.019 | 2.89 | 0.68 | 72 | 3 | 66.0\% | 511,056 | 3,960 |
| Clarksburg | WV | Washington Dulles | Washington Dulles | US-50 E | 196 | 4.02 | 0.078 | 4.59 | 1.62 | 36 | 2 | 78.8\% | 385,258 | 1,980 |
| El Centro | CA | Los Angeles | Los Angeles | $1-8 \mathrm{~W}$ | 231 | 3.90 | 0.122 | 4.52 | 1.97 | 28 | 2 | 60.3\% | 353,153 | 1,540 |
| Parkersburg/Marietta | WV | Cleveland | Cleveland | $1-77$ N | 180 | 3.02 | 0.016 | 3.53 | 0.82 | 48 | 2 | 80.7\% | 471,744 | 2,640 |
| Rutland | VT | Boston | Boston | $1-89 \mathrm{~S}$ and 1-93 S | 184 | 3.18 | 0.040 | 3.72 | 1.05 | 42 | 2 | 74.5\% | 421,949 | 2,310 |
| DuBois | PA | Cleveland | Cleveland | $1-80 \mathrm{~W}$ | 175 | 2.82 | 0.016 | 3.33 | 0.63 | 46 | 2 | 73.0\% | 439,530 | 2,530 |
| Decatur | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | Lambert-St. Louis Int', MO (L) | $1-55 \mathrm{~S}$ | 148 | 2.53 | 0.019 | 3.05 | 0.72 | 36 | 2 | 52.3\% | 290,909 | 1,980 |
| Decatur | IL | Chicago O'Hare | Chicago O'Hare | $1-55 \mathrm{~N}$ | 191 | 3.33 | 0.058 | 3.89 | 1.14 | 36 | 2 | 66.7\% | 375,430 | 1,980 |
| Marion/Herrin | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | 1-57 N and 1-64 W | 133 | 2.43 | 0.019 | 2.95 | 0.66 | 68 | 3 | 63.7\% | 493,802 | 3,740 |
| Muscle Shoals | AL | Memphis Int', TN (M) | Memphis Int', TN (M) | US-72 W | 147 | 2.90 | 0.021 | 3.42 | 1.38 | 28 | 2 | 45.6\% | 224,734 | 1,540 |
| Cape Girardeau | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | $1-55 \mathrm{~N}$ | 131 | 2.25 | 0.019 | 2.77 | 0.34 | 48 | 2 | 63.3\% | 343,325 | 2,640 |
| Victoria | TX | Houston Bush | Houston Bush | US-59 N | 148 | 2.68 | 0.060 | 3.24 | 0.66 | 26 | 1 | 80.3\% | 210,101 | 1,430 |
| Pueblo | CO | Denver Int'l, CO (L) | Denver Int'l, CO (L) | 1-25 N | 132 | 2.23 | 0.044 | 2.78 | 0.39 | 48 | 2 | 63.5\% | 345,946 | 2,640 |
| Fort Leonard Wood | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int', MO (L) | Lambert-St. Louis Int', MO (L) | $1-44 \mathrm{E}$ | 139 | 2.42 | 0.019 | 2.94 | 0.57 | 50 | 2 | 69.9\% | 379,470 | 2,750 |
| Mason City | IA | Minneapolis/St. Paul Int', MN (L) | Minneapolis/St. Paul Int'l, MN (L) | $1-35 \mathrm{~N}$ | 129 | 2.13 | 0.036 | 2.67 | 0.41 | 28 | 1 | 71.2\% | 197,215 | 1,540 |
| Staunton | VA | Washington Dulles Int'1, VA (L) | Washington Dulles Int'l, VA (L) | $1-81 \mathrm{~N}$ and 1-66E | 133 | 2.35 | 0.078 | 2.93 | 0.54 | 36 | 2 | 50.2\% | 261,425 | 1,980 |
| Laramie | WY | Denver Int'l, CO (L) | Denver Int'l, CO (L) | $1-80 \mathrm{E}$ and $\mathrm{l}-25 \mathrm{~S}$ | 156 | 2.45 | 0.044 | 2.99 | 0.71 | 36 |  | 51.3\% | 306,634 | 1,980 |
| Kirksville | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | US-63 S and I-70 E | 200 | 3.50 | 0.019 | 4.02 | 1.52 | 42 | 2 | 80.4\% | 458,640 | 2,310 |
| Greenville | MS | Memphis Int', TN (M) | Memphis Int'l, TN (M) | US-61 N | 150 | 2.85 | 0.021 | 3.37 | 1.21 | 28 | 2 | 44.9\% | 229,320 | 1,540 |

TABLE 4: ALTERNATE BUS SERVICE TO/FROM A DIFFERENT AIRPORT THAN THOSE CURRENTLY SERVICED BY EAS-SUBSIDIZED FLIGHTS

| EAS Community | State | Current EAS Flights to/from | Alternate Bus Service |  |  |  |  |  |  | Minimum Service - Current Flight Frequency |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bus service to/from | One-way Trip ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Weekly oneway Trips to/from ${ }^{[5]}$ | Min Buses Required ${ }^{[6]}$ | Utilization <br> Factor ${ }^{[7]}$ | Annual Miles ${ }^{[8]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weekly } \\ & \text { Seats }{ }^{\left[{ }^{[9]}\right.} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Route | Distance (mi) | Drive Time (hr) | Avg Congestion Delay (hr) ${ }^{[2]}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Total Trip } \\ \text { Time (hr) }{ }^{[3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Incr Trip } \\ \text { Time (hr) }{ }^{[4]} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eau Claire | WI | Chicago O'Hare | Minneapolis/St. Paul Int'l, MN (L) | 1-94 W | 92 | 1.77 | 0.036 | 2.30 | -0.49 | 28 | 1 | 61.4\% | 140,191 | 1,540 |
| Prescott | AZ | Los Angeles Denver | Sky Harbor Mun., Phoenix, AZ (L) Sky Harbor Mun., Phoenix, AZ (L) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1-17 \mathrm{~S} \\ & 1-17 \mathrm{~S} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 106 \\ & 106 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.05 \\ & 2.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.040 \\ & 0.040 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.59 \\ & 2.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.68 \\ & -1.69 \end{aligned}$ | 42 | 2 | 51.8\% | 274,194 | 2,310 |
| Merced | CA | McCarran Int'I, Las Vegas, NV Los Angeles, CA | San Jose Int'l, CA (M) San Jose Int'l, CA (M) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CA-99 N } \\ & \text { CA-99 N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124 \\ & 124 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.23 \\ & 2.23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.046 \\ & 0.046 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.78 \\ & 2.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-1.47 \\ & -0.42 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 56 | 2 | 74.1\% | 274,194 | 3,080 |
| Laurel/Hattiesburg | MS | Memphis Int'l, TN (M) | New Orleans Int', LA (M) | I-59 S and I-10 W | 132 | 2.12 | 0.024 | 2.64 | -0.17 | 28 | 1 | 70.4\% | 201,802 | 1,540 |
| Grand Island | NE | Dallas/Fort Worth | Eppley Airfield, Omaha, NE (M) | $1-80 \mathrm{E}$ | 155 | 2.78 | 0.008 | 3.29 | 0.06 | 26 | 1 | 81.5\% | 220,038 | 1,430 |
| Paducah | KY | Chicago O'Hare | Nashville Metropolitan, TN (M) | $1-24 \mathrm{E}$ | 149 | 2.50 | 0.024 | 3.02 | -0.14 | 28 | 1 | 80.6\% | 227,791 | 1,540 |

## TABLE 3 \& 4 NOTES

[1] Route, distance, and drive time from Google Maps, for trip from local airport to airport serviced. Assumes free-flow traffic without rush-hour congestion in urban areas.
[2] Assumed average delay based on congeston in urban area around hub airport during rush hours. See Table 12.
[3] Total Trip Time $=$ Drive Time + Average Congestion Delay $+\quad 0.25 \quad$ hour wait pre-trip + $\quad 0.25$ hour for debarking at destination
[4] Incremental Trip time = Total bus trip time - Total travel time for current EAS subsidized flights [Table 2]
[5] This is the same number of weekly one-way trips as current EAS-subsidized flights (see Table 2)
[6] Assumes 15 hours per day and $85 \%$ availability per bus
[7] Utilization Factor =(Weekly trips $\times$ total trip Time) $\div$ (Available Hours per Day $\times 7$ days/wk $\times$ Minimum Number of Buses Required)
[8] Annual Miles $=$ Trip distance $x$ weekly one-way trips $\times 52$ weeks/year $x(1+\%$ deadhead miles). Assumed $\%$ deadhead miles $=$
[9] Weekly seats = Weeklyone-way trips $x$ 55 seats per bus
table 5 COSt of alternate bus service to/from current airports serviced by eas-subsidized flights

|  |  |  |  | Annual Operating Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average Costs |  |  | Cost (value) of Incremental Travel Time per Passenger ${ }^{[13}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EAS Community | State | Bus Trip to/from | Census <br> Region | Annual Bus Miles [1] | Annual Bus Hours ${ }^{[2]}$ | Annual Operator Hours ${ }^{[3]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Fuel } \\ & \left(\text { gall }^{[4]}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Bus Lease } \\ {[5]} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Bus } \\ \text { Maintenance } \\ {[6]} \end{array}$ | Operator <br> Labor ${ }^{[7]}$ | Fuel ${ }^{[8]}$ | OH\& ${ }^{[9]}$ | total annual operating COST | Avg cost <br> per <br> passenger <br> [10] | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Load } \\ \text { Factor }{ }^{[11]} \end{array}$ | Average Cost per Seat ${ }^{[12]}$ |  |
| Hagerstown | MD | Baltimore Washington Int'I | SA | 261,731 | 6,504 | 7,075 | 48,469 | \$151,919 | \$102,075 | \$143,477 | \$183,696 | \$230,723 | \$811,890 | \$38.06 | 13\% | \$5.07 | \$0.35 |
| Lancaster | PA | Baltimore Washington Int'I | MA | 282,522 | 6,912 | 7,518 | 52,319 | \$151,919 | \$110,184 | \$152,470 | \$206,137 | \$246,422 | \$867,131 | \$67.64 | 8\% | \$5.41 | \$7.49 |
| Athens | GA | Hartsfield Int', Atlanta, GA (L) | SA | 109,812 | 3,072 | 3,341 | 20,335 | \$75,960 | \$42,826 | \$67,757 | \$77,071 | \$104,655 | \$368,269 | \$38.25 | 14\% | \$5.37 | \$3.12 |
| Lebanon/WRJ, VT | NH | Boston | NE | 376,085 | 7,715 | 8,392 | 69,645 | \$151,919 | \$146,673 | \$170,196 | \$277,885 | \$296,429 | \$1,043,102 | \$66.63 | 10\% | \$6.51 | \$0.14 |
| Jamestown | NY | Cleveland | MA | 306,634 | 6,092 | 6,627 | 56,784 | \$151,919 | \$119,587 | \$134,387 | \$223,729 | \$249,960 | \$879,582 | \$119.54 | 7\% | \$8.54 | -\$1.01 |
| Bradford | PA | Cleveland | MA | 389,189 | 8,123 | 8,836 | 72,072 | \$151,919 | \$151,784 | \$179,192 | \$283,964 | \$304,443 | \$1,071,301 | \$180.84 | 6\% | \$10.41 | \$54.69 |
| Jonesboro | AR | Memphis Int', TN (M) | WSC | 105,618 | 2,539 | 2,762 | 19,559 | \$75,960 | \$41,191 | \$56,006 | \$73,737 | \$98,017 | \$344,910 | \$330.37 | 2\% | \$5.02 | -\$2.03 |
| Morgantown | WV | Washington Dulles | SA | 367,567 | 8,245 | 8,968 | 68,068 | \$151,919 | \$143,351 | \$181,880 | \$257,978 | \$291,846 | \$1,026,974 | \$45.65 | 22\% | \$9.97 | \$46.41 |
| Johnstown | PA | Washington Dulles | MA | 332,186 | 7,655 | 8,327 | 61,516 | \$151,919 | \$129,553 | \$168,872 | \$242,373 | \$275,009 | \$967,726 | \$57.21 | 16\% | \$9.40 | \$44.05 |
| Jackson | TN | Nashville Int'\| | ESC | 296,696 | 5,928 | 6,449 | 54,944 | \$151,919 | \$115,712 | \$130,777 | \$207,138 | \$240,402 | \$845,947 | \$166.20 | 5\% | \$7.78 | \$15.04 |
| Oil City/Franklin | PA | Cleveland | MA | 208,026 | 4,203 | 4,572 | 38,523 | \$75,960 | \$81,130 | \$92,718 | \$151,782 | \$159,431 | \$561,021 | \$203.27 | 3\% | \$6.54 | -\$27.52 |
| Kingman | AZ | Phoenix-Sky Harbor | MTN | 288,179 | 6,185 | 6,727 | 53,366 | \$151,919 | \$112,390 | \$136,431 | \$203,860 | \$240,026 | \$844,626 | \$470.81 | 2\% | \$11.36 | \$73.13 |
| Owensboro | KY | Nashville Int'। | ESC | 290,472 | 5,928 | 6,449 | 53,791 | \$151,919 | \$113,284 | \$130,777 | \$202,792 | \$237,713 | \$836,485 | NA |  | \$7.70 | \$17.43 |
| Altoona | PA | Washington Dulles | MA | 300,846 | 7,147 | 7,774 | 55,712 | \$151,919 | \$117,330 | \$157,658 | \$219,506 | \$256,626 | \$903,039 | \$105.10 | 8\% | \$8.31 | \$6.40 |
| Quincy | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | ENC | 511,056 | 11,345 | 12,340 | 94,640 | \$227,879 | \$199,312 | \$250,262 | \$358,686 | \$411,347 | \$1,447,485 | \$92.99 | 8\% | \$7.03 | \$23.79 |
| Clarksburg | WV | Washington Dulles | SA | 385,258 | 9,031 | 9,824 | 71,344 | \$151,919 | \$150,250 | \$199,224 | \$270,394 | \$306,400 | \$1,078,187 | \$50.41 | 21\% | \$10.47 | \$53.70 |
| El Centro | CA | Los Angeles | PAC | 353,153 | 6,913 | 7,519 | 65,399 | \$151,919 | \$137,730 | \$152,488 | \$252,439 | \$275,747 | \$970,322 | \$102.10 | 12\% | \$12.12 | \$78.43 |
| Parkersburg/Marietta | WV | Cleveland | SA | 471,744 | 9,258 | 10,071 | 87,360 | \$151,919 | \$183,980 | \$204,235 | \$331,094 | \$345,878 | \$1,217,106 | \$111.11 | 8\% | \$8.87 | \$27.11 |
| Rutland | VT | Boston | NE | 421,949 | 8,538 | 9,288 | 78,139 | \$151,919 | \$164,560 | \$188,351 | \$311,773 | \$324,191 | \$1,140,794 | \$103.15 | 9\% | \$9.50 | \$44.37 |
| DuBois | PA | Cleveland | MA | 439,530 | 8,370 | 9,105 | 81,394 | \$151,919 | \$171,417 | \$184,644 | \$320,694 | \$328,984 | \$1,157,658 | \$101.05 | 9\% | \$8.80 | \$24.22 |
|  | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | ENC | 290,909 | 6,000 | 6,527 | 53,872 | \$151,919 | \$113,454 | \$132,358 | \$204,175 | \$238,957 | \$840,863 |  | 2\% | \$8.17 | \$25.06 |
| Decatur | IL | Chicago O'Hare | ENC | 375,430 | 7,648 | 8,319 | 69,524 | \$151,919 | \$146,418 | \$168,706 | \$263,496 | \$290,024 | \$1,020,563 | \$378.95 | 2\% | \$9.91 | \$39.76 |
| Marion/Herrin | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | ENC | 493,802 | 10,962 | 11,924 | 91,445 | \$227,879 | \$192,583 | \$241,819 | \$346,576 | \$400,516 | \$1,409,372 | \$87.57 | 8\% | \$7.25 | \$23.12 |
| Muscle Shoals | AL | Memphis Int', TN (M) | ESC | 224,734 | 5,230 | 5,689 | 41,617 | \$151,919 | \$87,646 | \$115,364 | \$156,897 | \$203,195 | \$715,022 | \$41.19 | 22\% | \$8.93 | \$39.73 |
| Cape Girardeau | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | WNC | 343,325 | 7,258 | 7,894 | 63,579 | \$151,919 | \$133,897 | \$160,096 | \$240,963 | \$272,689 | \$959,565 | \$98.68 | 7\% | \$6.99 | \$12.03 |
| Victoria | TX | Houston Bush | WSC | 210,101 | 4,604 | 5,008 | 38,908 | \$75,960 | \$81,939 | \$101,567 | \$146,681 | \$161,240 | \$567,387 | \$56.31 | 14\% | \$7.63 | \$21.28 |
| Pueblo | CO | Denver Int'l, CO (L) | MTN | 345,946 | 7,279 | 7,917 | 64,064 | \$151,919 | \$134,919 | \$160,567 | \$244,724 | \$274,775 | \$966,904 | \$41.53 | 17\% | \$7.04 | \$13.91 |
| Fort Leonard Wood | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | WNC | 379,470 | 8,015 | 8,718 | 70,272 | \$151,919 | \$147,993 | \$176,804 | \$266,332 | \$294,990 | \$1,038,038 | \$124.79 | 6\% | \$7.26 | \$20.19 |
| Mason City | IA | Minneapolis/St. Paul Int'l, MN (L) | WNC | 197,215 | 4,080 | 4,438 | 36,521 | \$75,960 | \$76,914 | \$90,011 | \$138,416 | \$151,376 | \$532,677 | \$19.23 | 35\% | \$6.65 | \$14.49 |
| Staunton | VA | Washington Dulles Int'l, VA (L) | SA | 261,425 | 5,755 | 6,260 | 48,412 | \$151,919 | \$101,956 | \$126,958 | \$183,481 | \$224,033 | \$788,347 | \$37.87 | 20\% | \$7.66 | \$17.99 |
| Laramie | WY | Denver Int'l, CO (L) | MTN | 306,634 | 5,885 | 6,401 | 56,784 | \$151,919 | \$119,587 | \$129,820 | \$216,915 | \$245,442 | \$863,682 | \$47.99 | 17\% | \$8.39 | \$25.35 |
| Kirksville | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int'I, MO (L) | WNC | 458,640 | 9,217 | 10,026 | 84,933 | \$151,919 | \$178,870 | \$203,317 | \$321,897 | \$339,833 | \$1,195,837 | \$281.11 | 4\% | \$9.96 | \$53.45 |
| Greenville | MS | Memphis Int'l, TN (M) | ESC | 229,320 | 5,153 | 5,605 | 42,467 | \$151,919 | \$89,435 | \$113,678 | \$160,099 | \$204,507 | \$719,638 | \$54.44 | 17\% | \$8.99 | \$34.62 |

## table 6 COSt of alternate bus service to/from a different airport than those currently serviced by eas-subsidized flights



## NOTES FOR TABLE 5 \& 6

[1] Annual bus miles = \# weekly trips x one-way trip distance [mi] $\times 52$ weeks/yr (See Tables 3 and 4)
[2] Annual bus hours = \# weekly trips $x$ total trip time [hr] $\times 52$ weeks/yr $\times$ ( $1+\%$ deadhead hours) [see Tables 3 and 4 for weekly trips and total trip time]. \% deadhead hours assumed to be:
[3] Annual operator hours = Annual bus hours $+\quad 0.5 \mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{day} \times 365$ day $/ \mathrm{yr} \times($ Bus hours $\div 2080)$
[4] Annual fuel [gal] = Annual bus miles $\div$ MPG (see Table 8 for average MPG by region)
[5] Bus lease Cost $=$ \# buses (Tables 3 and 4) $\times$ Annual equivalent lease cost per bus (Table 8)
[6] Bus Maintenance cost = Annual Bus miles x Bus Maintence Cost Factor [\$/mi] (Table 8)
[7] Operator labor cost = Annual Operator hours x (Direct Labor cost [\$/hr] + Indirect Labor Cost [\$/hr]) (See Table 8)
[8] Fuel Cost = Annual Fuel [gal] x Fuel Cost [ $\$ / \mathrm{gal}]$ (see Table 8)
[9] OH\&P [\$] = (Overhead [\%] + Profit [\%]) $\times$ (Bus Lease Cost + Bus Maintenance Cost + Operator Labor Cost + Fuel Cost). See Table 8 for OH\&P factors
[10] Average Cost per passenger = Total annual operating cost $\div$ Annual passengers [Table 1]
[11] Load Factor $=$ Annual Passengers [Table 1] $\div$ (Weekly seats [Table $3 \& 4] \times 52$ weeks/year)
[12] Average Cost per seat $=$ Total annual operating cost $\div$ (Weekly seats [Table $3 \& 4] \times 52$ weeks/year)
[13] Cost of Incremental Travel time = Incremental Trip Time (hr) [Table 3 \& 4] $\times$ Time Valuation For All Purposes ( $\$ / \mathrm{hr}$ ) [Table 9]
[14] Carrier offers subsidized and unsubsidized service from this airport. Cannot determine the number of passengers on subsidized routes.

## TABLE 7: ANNUAL EMISSIONS FROM EAS-SUBSIDIZED AIR FLIGHTS AND ALTERNATIVE BUS SERVICE



## notes to table 7

[1] See Table 2
[2] $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions [ton] = Annual fuel use [gal] $\mathrm{x} \quad 10,0$
NOX, HC, CO, $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emissions [ton] = Annual Fuel Use [gal] X Emission Factor [g/gal] $\div \quad 907,200 \mathrm{~g} /$ ton
Emission factors [g/gal] are based on LTO emission factors and fuel use for SAAB 340, taken from: Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Reference Manual , Table 1-50, pg 1.96
An LTO is a landing and take-off cycle, and encompasses aircraft operations on the ground and up to $3,000 \mathrm{ft}$ altitude. LTO emission factors represent emissions over a standardized LTO test cycle

| Unit | NOx | HC | co | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LTO Emission Factor kg/LTO | 0.3 | 12.7 | 22.1 | 0.3 | IPPC, SAAB 340 |
| LTO Fuel Use $\quad \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{LTO}$ | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | IPPC, SAAB 340 |
| $=\mathrm{Kg}$ Emissions per Kg fuel | 0.001 | 0.042 | 0.074 | 0.001 |  |
| $\times$ Fuel density ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{gal}$ ) $\times 1000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3,211 | 3,211 | 3,211 | 3,211 | www.afdc.energy.gov/afdc/pdfs/fueltable.pdf |
| = Emission Factor $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{gal}$ | 3.2 | 135.9 | 236.5 | 3.2 |  |

[3] See Tables 5 and 6 for calculation of total annual miles and fuel by route.
[4] $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions [ton] = Annual fuel use [gal] x
$10,084 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{gallon} \div \quad 907,200 \mathrm{~g} /$ ton. $\quad\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{gallon}\right.$ from: EPA420-F-05-001 February 2005]

$\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emissions [ton] $=$ Annual fuel use [gal] x
$0.10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{so}_{2} / \mathrm{gallon} \div$
$907,200 \mathrm{~g} /$ ton. This assumes 15 ppm sulfur fuel (EPA max allowable) and that all fuel-borne sulfur is converted to $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ during combustion

## Table 8 BUS SERVICE COST FACTORS

| COST FACTOR |  | REGION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | unit | New England [NE] | Middle <br> Atlantic <br> [MA] | East North Central [ENC] | South Atlantic [SA] | East South Central [ESC] | West North Central [WNC] | West South Central [WSC] | Mountain <br> [MTN] | Pacific <br> [PAC] |  |
| Bus purchase cost | \$ | \$ 500,000 | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | ABA bus operator cost survey |
| Bus equivalent lease Cost | \$/yr | \$75,960 | \$75,960 | \$75,960 | \$75,960 | \$75,960 | \$75,960 | \$75,960 | \$75,960 | \$75,960 | Assumes 8 year lease \& cost of capital below |
| Bus Maintenance Cost | \$/mi | \$0.39 | \$0.39 | \$0.39 | \$0.39 | \$0.39 | \$0.39 | \$0.39 | \$0.39 | \$0.39 | ABA bus operator cost survey |
| Direct Driver Labor Cost Indirect Driver Labor Cost | $\$ / h r$ <br> $\$ / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15.78 \\ \$ 4.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 15.78 \\ & \$ 4.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15.78 \\ \$ 4.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15.78 \\ & \$ 4.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15.78 \\ & \$ 4.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15.78 \\ \$ 4.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15.78 \\ & \$ 4.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15.78 \\ \$ 4.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 15.78 \\ & \$ 4.50 \end{aligned}$ | ABA bus operator cost survey <br> ABA bus operator cost survey |
| Overhead Costs | \% of direct operating costs | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | ABA bus operator cost survey |
| Profit Margin | \% | 9.7\% | 9.7\% | 9.7\% | 9.7\% | 9.7\% | 9.7\% | 9.7\% | 9.7\% | 9.7\% | ABA bus operator cost survey |
| Coach Bus Fuel Economy | MPG | 5.40 | 5.40 | 5.40 | 5.40 | 5.40 | 5.40 | 5.40 | 5.40 | 5.40 | ABA bus operator cost survey |
| Diesel Fuel Cost | \$/gal | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3.99 \\ \text { PADD 1A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3.94 \\ \text { PADD 1B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3.79 \\ & \text { PADD } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3.79 \\ \text { PADD 1C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3.77 \\ & \text { PADD } 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3.79 \\ & \text { PADD } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3.77 \\ & \text { PADD } 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3.82 \\ & \text { PADD } 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 3.86$ <br> PADD 5 | Energy Information Administration, Weekly Retail Gasoline and Diesel Prices, 8/22/11, Diesel (on highway) all types |
| Cost of Capital | \% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | ABA bus operator cost survey |

TABLE 9: VALUATION OF TRAVEL TIME

| REGION/DIVISION | Median household income ${ }^{\text {[1] }}$ | Hourly income ${ }^{\text {[2] }}$ | Valuation for personal travel (surface) ${ }^{[3]}$ | Valuation for personal travel air ${ }^{[4]}$ | Hourly compensation [5] | Valuation for business travel (surface) ${ }^{[6]}$ | Valuation for business travel $(\text { air }) ~(7]$ | Valuation for all purposes (air) ${ }^{[8]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northeast | \$53,073 | \$26.54 | \$18.58 | \$29.24 | \$32.16 | \$32.16 | \$60.83 | \$39.37 |
| New England [NE] | \$58,709 | \$29.35 | \$20.55 | \$32.35 | \$33.56 | \$33.56 | \$63.48 | \$42.35 |
| Middle Atlantic [MA] | \$51,583 | \$25.79 | \$18.05 | \$28.42 | \$31.62 | \$31.62 | \$59.81 | \$38.49 |
| Midwest | \$48,877 | \$24.44 | \$17.11 | \$26.93 | \$27.47 | \$27.47 | \$51.96 | \$34.97 |
| East North Central [ENC] | \$48,137 | \$24.07 | \$16.85 | \$26.52 | \$27.73 | \$27.73 | \$52.45 | \$34.85 |
| West North Central [WNC] | \$50,408 | \$25.20 | \$17.64 | \$27.78 | \$26.90 | \$26.90 | \$50.88 | \$35.21 |
| South | \$45,615 | \$22.81 | \$15.97 | \$25.13 | \$24.93 | \$24.93 | \$47.16 | \$32.22 |
| South Atlantic [SA] | \$47,408 | \$23.70 | \$16.59 | \$26.12 | \$25.48 | \$25.48 | \$48.20 | \$33.22 |
| East South Central [ESC] | \$39,958 | \$19.98 | \$13.99 | \$22.02 | \$22.65 | \$22.65 | \$42.84 | \$28.71 |
| West South Central [WSC] | \$45,905 | \$22.95 | \$16.07 | \$25.29 | \$25.07 | \$25.07 | \$47.42 | \$32.41 |
| West | \$53,833 | \$26.92 | \$18.84 | \$29.66 | \$29.95 | \$29.95 | \$56.65 | \$38.34 |
| Mountain [MTN] | \$50,419 | \$25.21 | \$17.65 | \$27.78 | \$27.93 | \$27.93 | \$52.83 | \$35.83 |
| Pacific [PAC] | \$56,232 | \$28.12 | \$19.68 | \$30.98 | \$30.84 | \$30.84 | \$58.33 | \$39.78 |

[1] Census data, 2009 (Current Population Reports: Income)
[2] Median household income / 2000 hours
[3] 70\% of hourly income (DOT recommendation for personal travel)
[4] Valuation of personal surface travel multiplied by 2003 ratio of personal surface travel valuation to personal air travel valuation

|  | Valuation for | Valuation for | Multiplication |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personal | $\$ 14.80$ | $\$ 23.30$ | 1.574 |
| Business | $\$ 21.20$ | $\$ 40.10$ | 1.892 |

[5] Total employer cost for employee compensation, 2009 (Bureau of Labor Statistics)
[6] Same as hourly compensation.
[7] Valuation of business surface travel multiplied by 2003 ratio of business surface travel valuation to business air travel valuation
[8] Based on DOT's assumptions of $68.7 \%$ personal and $31.3 \%$ business for air travel

| EAS Community | State | Current EAS Subsidized Flights |  | Average Delay for listed flight numbers on the route (min) ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { AVG } \\ \text { ROUTE } \\ \text { DELAY } \\ \text { (r) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Flights to/from | Flight <br> Miles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hagerstown | MD | Baltimore Washington Int'I | 67 | 17 | 11 | 20.18 | 188 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lancaster | PA | Baltimore Washington Int'I | 67 | 9 | 13 | 12 | 817 | 1721 | 21.22 | 2224 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.26 |
| Athens | GA | Hartsfield Int'l, Atlanta, GA (L) | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.33 |
| Lebanon/WRJ, VT | NH | Boston | 109 | 21 | 22 | 2313 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.43 |
| Jamestown | NY | Cleveland | 142 | 20 | 20 | 80 | 7280 | 8072 | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.96 |
| Bradford | PA | Cleveland | 168 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.46 |
| Jonesboro | AR | Memphis Int', TN (M) | 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.33 |
| Morgantown | WV | Washington Dulles | 141 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 18 | 1818 | 1829 | 2929 | 2936 | 636 | 136 | 136 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.64 |
| Johnstown | PA | Washington Dulles | 120 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 4343 | 43 66 | 66 | 66 | 262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.58 |
| Jackson | TN | Nashville Int'l | 131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.33 |
| Oil City/Franklin | PA | Cleveland | 103 | 5 | 5 | 434 | 4318 | 18218 | 182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.28 |
| Kingman | AZ | Phoenix-Sky Harbor | 168 | 20 | 20 | $20 \mid 2$ | 222 | 2222 | 2214 | 1414 | 1414 | 419 | 19 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.31 |
| Owensboro | KY | Nashville Int'I | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.33 |
| Altoona | PA | Washington Dulles Int', VA (L) | 104 | 64 | 64 | 75 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.16 |
| Quincy | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | 108 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 109 | 11 | 1116 | 16 | 116 | , | 17 | 17 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.20 |
| Clarksburg | WV | Washington Dulles | 151 | 20 | 20 | 9 | 90 | 0 | 56 | 56 | 569 | 797 | 67 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.69 |
| El Centro | CA | Los Angeles | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.33 |
| Parkersburg/Marietta | wV | Cleveland | 145 | 2 | 2 | 19.1 | 1923 | 2323 | 2366 | 6666 | 66 | 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.63 |
| Rutland | VT | Boston | 127 | 26 | 26 | 272 | 274 | 4141 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.52 |
| DuBois | PA | Cleveland | 154 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 03 | 3737 | 37) 53 | 5353 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.45 |
| Decatur | IL | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.33 |
| Decaur | IL | Chicago O'Hare | 157 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.33 |
| Marion/Herrin | 12 | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | 122 | 13 | 13 | 7 | 111 | 1118 | 1818 | 1813 | 313 | 117 | 17 | 20 | 195 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.29 |
| Muscle Shoals | AL | Memphis Int', TN (M) | 136 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |
| Cape Girardeau | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int', MO (L) | 123 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 915 | 1522 | 22.22 | 2230 | 3063 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.34 |
| Victoria | TX | Houston Bush | 123 | 21 | 21 | 39 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.50 |
| Pueblo | CO | Denver Int'l, CO (L) | 125 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1717 | 1717 | 1735 | 3535 | 3535 | 524 | 24 | 24 | 33 | 3333 | 389 | 89 | 89 | 36 | 363 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.57 |
| Fort Leonard Wood | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int', MO (L) | 130 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 1719 | 1919 | 1926 | 2625 | 2518 | 1826 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.33 |
| Mason City | IA | Minneapolis/St. Paul Int'l, MN (L) | 132 | 3 | 3 | 1818 | 1810 | 1010 | 1019 | 1919 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 312 | 2424 | 424 |  | 0 | 55 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.27 |
| Staunton | VA | Washington Dulles Int'l, VA (L) | 133 | 17 | 21 | 172 | 2113 | 1313 | 134 | 44 | 15 | 515 | 24 | 24 | 747 | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.40 |
| Laramie | WY | Denver Int'l, CO (L) | 144 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 2020 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 383 | 3838 | 334 | 34 | 34 | 36 | 36 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.40 |
| Kirksville | MO | Lambert-St. Louis Int'l, MO (L) | 149 | 7 | 7 | 77 | 7 | 10 | 1035 | 3544 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.25 |
| Greenville | MS | Memphis $\operatorname{lnt} 1$, TN (M) | 150 | 1 | 1 | 11 | - | 39 | 9.9 | 14 | 1414 | 46 | 6 | 18 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.14 |
| Eau Claire | WI | Chicago O'Hare | 268 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 31 | 3 | 9 | 20 | 9 | 20 | 88 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 031 | 131 | 38 | 38 | 9292 | 52 | 95 | 95 | 0.37 |
|  |  | Los Angeles | 345 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 35 | 35135 | 3535 | 559 | 59 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.52 |
| Prescott | AZ | Denver | 557 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.33 |
|  |  | McCarran Int', Las Vegas, NV | 309 |  | 34 | 56\|5 | 56123 | 23923 | 23950 | 50\|50 | $50 \mid$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.58 |
| Merced | CA | Los Angeles, CA | 260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.33 |
| Laurel/Hattiesburg | MS | Memphis Int', TN (M) | 250 | 13 | 13 | 22 | 2220 | 2020 | 2036 | 3636 | 3637 | 737 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.36 |
| Grand Island | NE | Dallas/Fort Worth | 585 | 18 | 18 | 292 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 |
| Paducah | KY | Chicago O'Hare | 345 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | $0{ }^{37}$ | 3737 | 370 | 0 | 37 | 29 | 293 | 3313 | 343 | 34 | 45 | 45 | 10310 | 1035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.52 |

[1] Data from Flights Stats, accessed $8 / 25 / 11$, on-time ratings by route (http://www.flightstats.com/go/flightRating/flightRatingByRoute.do)
If no data available, assume

TABLE 11: AVERAGE FUEL USE FOR AIRCRAFT USED ON EAS-SUBSIDIZED ROUTES

| Equipment | Full name | Average Fuel <br> Burn (gal/hr) | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | Cessna 402 | 37.0 | http://www.planequest.com/operationcosts/op cost info.asp?id=55 |
| C-208B | Cessna 208B Grand Carav | 42.0 | http://www.zimex.ch/media/4411/aircraft spec caravan.pdf |
| B-1900 | Beechcraft 1900 | 110.0 | http://www.ameriflight.com/Aircraft/be1900.asp |
| PC-12 | Pilatus PC12 | 74.0 | http://www.jetfly.com/english/advantages/flyGreen.html |
| SAAB 340 | SAAB 340 | 129.0 | http://www.azfreighters.com/planes/saab340b.pdf |
| C-208 | Cessna 208 Caravan | 47.6 | http://www.airbornesolutions.com/AircraftFleet/FixedWing/tabid/81/Default.aspx |
| CRJ-200 | Bombadier CRJ-200 | 324.0 | http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/world/canada/crj200-specs.htm |
| BRASILIA | Embraer EMB 120 Brasilia | 117.8 | http://www.thinkairplanes.com/embraer-for-sale/embraer-emb-120-er-for-sale/32/ |
| Caravan | Cessna 208 Caravan | 47.6 | http://www.airbornesolutions.com/AircraftFleet/FixedWing/tabid/81/Default.aspx |
| EMB-145 ${ }^{[1]}$ | Embraer ERJ 145 | 223.0 | http://azureairways.com/erj145.php |

[1] Based on published data: $\quad 0.637 \quad \mathrm{gal} / \mathrm{mix} \quad 350 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ (based on scheduled flight time and flight miles for Grand Island NE to Dallas TX

TABLE 12: AVERAGE DRIVE TIME DELAY FOR BUS TRIPS WITHIN URBAN AREAS

| Urban Area | Travel Time Index (2009) ${ }^{[1]}$ | Daily Congested Time (hr) ${ }^{\text {[1] }}$ | Congestion Time Percentage [2] | Average Bus Trip Delay (hr) ${ }^{[3]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baltimore, MD | 1.17 | 4.00 | 27\% | 0.027 |
| Boston, MA | 1.20 | 5.00 | 33\% | 0.040 |
| Chicago, IL | 1.25 | 5.75 | 38\% | 0.058 |
| Cleveland, OH | 1.10 | 4.00 | 27\% | 0.016 |
| Denver, CO | 1.22 | 5.00 | 33\% | 0.044 |
| Omaha, NE | 1.08 | 2.50 | 17\% | 0.008 |
| Atlanta, GA | 1.22 | 5.00 | 33\% | 0.044 |
| Houston, TX | 1.25 | 6.00 | 40\% | 0.060 |
| St Loius, MO | 1.12 | 4.00 | 27\% | 0.019 |
| Los Angeles, CA | 1.38 | 8.00 | 53\% | 0.122 |
| Memphis, TN | 1.13 | 4.00 | 27\% | 0.021 |
| Minneapolis, MN | 1.21 | 4.25 | 28\% | 0.036 |
| Nashville, TN | 1.15 | 4.00 | 27\% | 0.024 |
| New Orleans, LA | 1.15 | 4.00 | 27\% | 0.024 |
| Phoenix, AZ | 1.20 | 5.00 | 33\% | 0.040 |
| San Jose, CA | 1.23 | 5.00 | 33\% | 0.046 |
| Washington, DC | 1.30 | 6.50 | 43\% | 0.078 |

[1] Texas Transportation Institute, 2010 Annual Urban Mobility Report, Congestion Data for Your City (http://mobility.tamu.edu/ums/congestion_data/) Travel Time Index is the ratio of travel time in the peak period to travel time in free-flow. A value of 1.30 indicates a 20-minute free-flow trip takes 26 minutes in the peak.
[2] Congestion Time Percentage = Daily Congested Time [hr] $\div 15 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day available for bus trips (6 AM - 9PM)
[3] Avg Bus Trip Delay [hr] = (Travel Time Index - 1) x Free Flow Trip Time [hr] x Congestion Time Percentage
Free Flow Trip Time [hr]
30
miles travel in urban area $\div$
50
MPH free flow traffic speed $=$
0.60 hour


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the coach bus option the analysis also includes total annual particulate matter (PM) emissions, but PM emissions from current air flights could not be determined due to a lack of data.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This weighted average only includes bus trips that are to the same hub airport destination as current flights. For the six communities where the analyzed bus trips are to a different, closer hub airport the bus trip is generally shorter than current flights (by up to 1.7 hours). If these trips are included the weighted average incremental trip time for all analyzed bus trips falls to 25 minutes.
    ${ }^{3}$ For the seven routes on the extreme right of Figure 2 the alternate bus trip is to a closer hub airport than the EAS flight. For most of these routes the total bus trip time is significantly shorter than the EAS air trip time, resulting in a negative "cost" for incremental trip time. For some of these routes the value of this trip time benefit is greater than bus operating costs, resulting in a negative value for total bus costs.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{http}: / /$ ostpxweb.dot.gov/aviation/x-50\%20role_files/essentialairservice.htm\#US. For 30 of 38 communities included in this analysis the data in the May 2010 subsidy report (the most current posted) is out of date. For these communities subsidy levels and other details of service (i.e. carrier, route) were updated between June 2010 and June 2011. See Appendix A. In most cases changes to annual subsidy levels since the May 2010 report were small in magnitude.
    ${ }^{5}$ Preliminary CY10 Passenger Enplanements by State and Airport, 6/22/2011, http://www.faa.gov/airports/ planning_capacity/passenger_allcargo_stats/passenger/media/cy10_all_enplanements_prelim.pdf

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ Dead-head miles are non-revenue miles required to move between the bus storage location and beginning/end of the passenger route each day.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ On-time ratings by route, http://www.flightstats.com/go/FlightRating/flightRatingByRoute.do, accessed 8/25/11
    ${ }^{8}$ Texas Transportation Institute, Congestion Data for Your City, http://mobility.tamu.edu/ums/congestion_data/

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ For this analysis this average congestion delay is applied to all bus trips to a given urban area - in fact some daily trips will experience no congestion delay and others will experience a delay longer than the average.
    ${ }^{10}$ U.S. DOT, Revised Departmental Guidance, Valuation of Travel Time in Economic Analysis, 2/22/03

[^6]:    ${ }^{11}$ See: R. Babikian, et al, Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, The Historical Fuel Efficiency Characteristics of Regional Aircraft from Technological, Operational, and Cost Perspectives, Figure 1
    ${ }^{12}$ EPA420-F-05-001, February 2005
    ${ }^{13}$ Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Reference Manual, Table 1-50, pg. 1.96. Emissions factors are not available for all of the aircraft used on EAS routes, so the SAAB 340 was used as a proxy for other turbo-prop aircraft.
    ${ }^{14}$ This emission factor is based on the maximum allowable sulfur content of 15 parts per million for highway diesel fuel, and an assumption that all fuel-borne sulfur is oxidized to $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ during combustion in a diesel engine.

[^7]:    ${ }^{15}$ The jet fuel burned by the turbo-prop aircraft used for EAS-subsidized service is essentially identical to highway diesel fuel, but is allowed by EPA to have higher sulfur content.
    ${ }^{16}$ Aircraft also emit small amounts of particulate matter (PM) but these emissions could not be estimated because PM emission factors were unavailable.

[^8]:    ${ }^{17}$ Based on data from Edmunds.com, product reviews and True Cost of Ownership for 2010 Mercedes Sprinter Van.
    

